Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Research
Available on http://gjetr.ep-journals.org Volume: 01, Issue: 01, July 2025

Performance Analysis of Green Concrete Using Industrial Waste: A Sustainable Approach to Civil Engineering

Dr. Prashant Ramesh Bamane
Associate Professor
Arvind Gavali College of Engineering Satara

Abstract

The global construction industry has long been a double-edged sword—on one side, it supports societal advancement and economic growth, and on the other, it contributes substantially to environmental degradation. Traditional concrete production methods consume vast quantities of natural resources and are responsible for a large percentage of global greenhouse gas emissions. This unsustainable dependency has triggered the need for alternative solutions that not only fulfill the structural demands of modern infrastructure but also contribute to environmental preservation. Green concrete has emerged as a transformative innovation in this context, offering a sustainable construction material by utilizing industrial waste products such as fly ash, ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS), and silica fume. These materials, often considered environmental liabilities, can be repurposed to produce concrete that is not only environmentally friendly but also exhibits competitive or superior mechanical and durability performance. This paper presents a comprehensive performance analysis of green concrete prepared using varying proportions of industrial waste. Laboratory tests focusing on compressive strength, workability, permeability, and chemical resistance were carried out under controlled conditions. The results confirm that with optimal mix design, green concrete can surpass traditional concrete in strength and durability while dramatically reducing its environmental footprint. The findings pave the way for broader implementation of sustainable practices in civil engineering through the widespread adoption of green concrete.

Keywords: Green Concrete, Fly Ash, GGBS, Silica Fume, Industrial Waste Utilization, Sustainable Materials, Compressive Strength, Concrete Durability, Civil Engineering Innovation

1. Introduction

In recent decades, the field of civil engineering has experienced unprecedented growth driven by the increasing demand for housing, transportation infrastructure, and urban development. However, this growth has come at a steep environmental cost. The construction sector alone is responsible for approximately 39% of total global carbon emissions, with cement manufacturing accounting for a significant portion of this figure. As civil engineers, architects, and environmental scientists grapple with the dual challenge of meeting infrastructural needs while maintaining ecological integrity, the focus has gradually shifted toward sustainable materials and building practices.

Green concrete is an outcome of this transformative movement—a form of concrete that replaces conventional ingredients such as cement, fine aggregates, and coarse aggregates with environmentally benign alternatives derived from industrial waste. The concept is grounded in the principles of resource efficiency, circular economy, and environmental stewardship. By reusing industrial by-products such as fly ash (a residue from coal combustion in thermal power plants), GGBS (a by-product from iron and steel production), and silica fume (collected during silicon alloy manufacturing), green concrete not only reduces the consumption of virgin materials but also mitigates the environmental hazards associated with waste disposal.

The fundamental aim of this study is to assess the performance of green concrete using an experimental approach. It explores how different combinations of industrial waste affect the key properties of concrete such as compressive strength, workability, water absorption, and resistance to chemical

attacks. Through careful material selection, mix proportioning, and laboratory testing, this research contributes to a better understanding of the practical implications and structural reliability of green concrete. By doing so, it lays the groundwork for adopting greener alternatives in the mainstream construction industry, aligning with global sustainability goals.

2. Literature Review

The discourse surrounding sustainable construction materials has expanded considerably in the last two decades, with numerous studies underscoring the advantages and feasibility of green concrete. The literature provides compelling evidence that industrial waste materials, when judiciously incorporated into concrete formulations, can yield materials that are structurally sound, durable, and environmentally advantageous.

Fly ash, one of the most extensively studied waste materials, is recognized for its pozzolanic properties. According to Mehta and Monteiro (2014), fly ash reacts with calcium hydroxide released during cement hydration to form additional calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H), which significantly enhances the concrete matrix. This reaction improves the long-term compressive strength, reduces permeability, and mitigates the risk of alkali-silica reaction. Similarly, GGBS is known to exhibit latent hydraulic behavior, meaning it can react with water in the presence of an activator such as Portland cement. Research by Nath and Sarker (2012) confirms that GGBS contributes to strength development beyond the 28-day mark and significantly enhances resistance to aggressive environmental conditions such as sulfate exposure.

Silica fume, although used in relatively smaller quantities due to its high fineness and reactivity, plays a crucial role in densifying the concrete microstructure. It fills the voids between cement particles, thereby reducing porosity and improving the interfacial transition zone (ITZ). Studies by Siddique (2011) reveal that concrete mixes incorporating silica fume

demonstrate increased compressive and flexural strength, reduced chloride penetration, and superior resistance to abrasion.

Despite these advantages, the practical implementation of green concrete is often hindered by the lack of standardized mix design methods and concerns over variability in waste material composition. Moreover, economic considerations and regulatory constraints can limit its adoption in large-scale infrastructure projects. Nonetheless, the cumulative research suggests that with appropriate quality control and site-specific optimization, green concrete stands as a viable substitute for conventional concrete in both load-bearing and non-load-bearing applications.

3. Methodology

To investigate the mechanical and durability performance of green concrete, a detailed experimental procedure was developed. This involved the preparation of four different concrete mixes with varying proportions of industrial waste replacing the cement content. The selection of fly ash, GGBS, and silica fume was based on their availability, pozzolanic reactivity, and prior evidence of compatibility with cementitious systems.

A standard control mix, designated as M0, was prepared using 100% ordinary Portland cement without any industrial waste. The three subsequent mixes—M1, M2, and M3—were designed with increasing levels of waste replacement to assess the impact of each material on concrete behavior. The water-cement ratio was adjusted slightly to maintain uniform workability, and a polycarboxylate-based superplasticizer was used to enhance flowability, particularly in high-silica fume mixes.

The physical and chemical characteristics of the materials were analyzed prior to mix preparation. Key tests included particle size distribution, specific gravity, and chemical oxide composition using XRF analysis.

Table: Mix Proportions and Composition Details

Mix ID	OPC (%)	Fly Ash (%)	GGBS (%)	Silica Fume (%)	Water-Cement Ratio	Admixture Type
M0	100	0	0	0	0.50	None
M1	70	20	10	0	0.45	Superplasticizer (SP)
M2	60	25	10	5	0.42	Superplasticizer (SP)
M3	50	30	15	5	0.40	Superplasticizer (SP)

Each mix was cast into 150 mm cube molds and cured in clean water at 27°C for 7, 14, and 28 days. The compressive strength test was conducted as per IS 516 standards. Durability tests, including water absorption, acid resistance, and sulfate resistance, were conducted on 28-day cured specimens.

4. Results and Discussion

The experimental results demonstrate a strong correlation between industrial waste incorporation and concrete performance metrics. In terms of compressive strength, Mix M2 emerged as the optimal blend, achieving a 28-day strength of 47.6 MPa compared to 43.2 MPa in the control mix. This increase is attributed to the synergistic effects of fly ash and silica fume, both of which contribute to microstructure refinement and secondary hydration reactions. Mix M3 also showed high strength but presented marginally reduced workability due to the higher volume of fines.

Workability, assessed through the slump test, showed a moderate increase in fly ash-based mixes due to the spherical morphology of fly ash particles. However, higher silica fume content in Mix M3 required the use of additional

superplasticizer to achieve a comparable slump to the control mix. The slump for M2 was recorded at 85 mm, deemed ideal for medium workability applications.

Water absorption was significantly lower in mixes with GGBS and silica fume. M2 recorded a 25% lower water absorption than M0, indicating a denser pore structure. The **permeability test** also revealed improved resistance to fluid ingress, which is essential for long-term durability, especially in coastal and aggressive environments.

In the **acid and sulfate resistance tests**, green concrete samples exhibited reduced mass loss and less surface deterioration. Mix M2 retained 96.2% of its original mass after 30 days of exposure to 5% sulfuric acid solution, compared to 91.8% retention in the control mix. This indicates improved chemical resistance due to the low calcium hydroxide content and refined microstructure.

These findings support the hypothesis that green concrete, when proportioned correctly, can outperform conventional concrete in both strength and resilience. Moreover, the environmental benefits, including reduced cement usage and landfill load, position green concrete as a sustainable construction material of the future.

5. Case Study: Sustainable Housing Project in Maharashtra

In a real-world demonstration of green concrete's practical benefits, a sustainable housing project was undertaken in rural Maharashtra. The project involved the construction of 25 single-floor houses using concrete prepared with 40% fly ash and 10% GGBS as cement replacements. Over a period of 12 months, the houses were monitored for signs of structural distress, thermal performance, and user satisfaction.

The results were overwhelmingly positive. Residents reported better thermal insulation during the hot months, attributed to the dense and reflective properties of the green concrete. Builders recorded a 12.4% cost reduction in

material procurement, and no structural defects such as cracks, seepage, or scaling were reported during the observation period. The project demonstrated not only the technical viability of green concrete but also its socio-economic impact on low-cost housing initiatives.

6. Conclusion

The performance analysis presented in this research confirms that green concrete incorporating fly ash, GGBS, and silica fume offers a viable and sustainable alternative to traditional concrete. By leveraging the pozzolanic and hydraulic properties of industrial waste, it is possible to develop concrete mixtures that excel in strength, durability, and environmental responsibility. The improved compressive strength, enhanced resistance to chemical attacks, and lower water absorption make green concrete suitable for both structural and non-structural applications in modern civil engineering.

In addition to technical performance, the use of industrial waste in concrete supports waste minimization, energy conservation, and carbon footprint reduction. These benefits align with national and international goals for sustainable infrastructure and climate action. For large-scale adoption, further work is required in standardizing mix designs, developing region-specific guidelines, and incentivizing eco-conscious building practices through regulatory support and public awareness.

References

1. Mehta, P. K., & Monteiro, P. J. (2014). *Concrete: Microstructure, Properties, and Materials* (4th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
2. Nath, P., & Sarker, P. K. (2012). Effect of GGBS on setting, workability and early strength properties of fly ash geopolymer concrete cured in ambient condition. *Construction and Building Materials*, *66*, 163–171.
3. Siddique, R. (2011). Utilization of silica fume in concrete: Review of hardened properties. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *55*(11), 923–932.
4. Ramezanianpour, A. A. (2010). *Cement Replacement Materials: Properties, Durability, Sustainability*. Springer.
5. Chindaprasirt, P., Homwuttiwong, S., & Jaturapitakkul, C. (2009). Strength and water permeability of concrete containing palm oil fuel ash and fly ash. *Materials & Design*, *30*(10), 3445–3449.
6. Mahra, Mr Anil Kumar. "FINANCIAL LITERACY AND PATTERN OF SAVINGS, INVESTMENT BEHAVIOR OF WOMEN TEACHING FACULTIES IN SAGAR REGION. AN EMPIRICAL ASSESSMENT."
7. Mahra, Anil Kumar. "THE ROLE OF GENDER IN ONLINE SHOPPING-A."
8. Gaba, Faiza, et al. "Preventing Ovarian Cancer through early Excision of Tubes and late Ovarian Removal (PROTECTOR): Protocol for a prospective non-randomised multi-center trial." International Journal of Gynecological Cancer 31.2 (2021): 286-291.