Available on: http://gjetr.ep-journals.org/ E-ISSN: 3051-3782, P-ISSN: 3051-3774

Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Review
Volume: 02 Issue: 09 September 2026

Field Reliability of Advanced Leak Detection and Repair Technologies: A Technical Analysis of Optical Gas Imaging Against Instrument-Based Screening for Fugitive Emission Identification

Oghenekaro Ekelemu
Independent Researcher, Nigeria

Oluwaseyi Ayotunde Akano
Chevron Nigeria Limited, Nigeria

Friday Emmanuel Adikwu
Waltersmith Refining and Petrochemical Company Ltd, Lagos

Published Date: September 05, 2026 **Article DOI : 10.65150/EP-gjetr/V2E9/2026-06**

ABSTRACT: Optical gas imaging replaced instrument-based component screening in much of the hydrocarbon sector on the argument that it surveys more components per hour and therefore finds more of what matters. The argument is sound and is frequently made without the qualification that determines whether it holds, namely that detection probability for optical imaging is strongly conditional on emission rate, distance, wind and operator technique, whereas instrument screening is comparatively insensitive to all four. This paper analyses the two approaches as a survey design problem rather than as a technology comparison, on the argument that the relevant question is not which instrument detects better under controlled conditions but which programme finds more of the total emission under field conditions and available resource. Four positions are developed. First, the case for optical imaging rests principally on the extreme skew of the emission distribution across components, which makes coverage rather than per-component sensitivity the dominant determinant of programme performance. Second, the empirical evidence on optical imaging detection probability establishes that manufacturer sensitivity figures describe controlled conditions and that field detection thresholds are substantially higher and highly variable. Third, the two approaches fail in different directions, optical imaging missing small persistent emissions and instrument screening missing large emissions from components not on the survey list, and a programme using either alone inherits its blind spot. Fourth, the quantification problem is common to both and is the reason emission inventories built from component surveys have repeatedly diverged from measurements made at facility scale. The paper closes with implications for survey design in liquefaction facilities and identifies where the evidence base remains thin.

KEYWORDS: leak detection and repair, optical gas imaging, Method 21, fugitive emissions, methane, detection probability, survey design, emission distributions, liquefaction

1. INTRODUCTION

Fugitive emissions from valves, flanges, connectors, pump seals and compressor seals constitute a loss of product, a safety consideration where the material is flammable, and an emissions liability that has become the dominant framing over the past decade. Leak detection and repair programmes exist to find and fix them, and the technology by which they do so has changed substantially.

The traditional approach screens components individually with a portable hydrocarbon analyser held at the component surface, recording a concentration and comparing it against a threshold. It is slow, thorough within its coverage, and produces a per-component record.

Optical gas imaging uses an infrared camera tuned to a hydrocarbon absorption band to render an escaping plume visible. It is fast, permits many components to be surveyed from a single position, and detects a plume rather than a concentration.

The transition from the first to the second has been substantial and the argument for it is intuitive: a technique that surveys ten times as many components in an hour will find more, even if it misses some that the slower technique would have caught. The argument is correct in structure and depends on premises that are worth examining, because it is frequently made without them and because the premises hold to different degrees in different facilities.

This paper analyses the comparison as a survey design problem. It examines the emission distribution that makes coverage the dominant variable, the empirical evidence on field detection probability, the differing failure modes of the two approaches, and the quantification problem common to both. It is written with the liquefaction context in view, where the component population is large, the terrain is congested, and the material of interest includes both methane and heavier hydrocarbons with different optical properties. The accounting framework within which any measured emission is valued depends on the time horizon adopted for methane, which is a policy choice rather than a physical constant and

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

materially changes the ranking of mitigation options (Myhre et al., 2013; Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2021; Allen et al., 2016; Ocko et al., 2021). The life cycle literature places facility emissions within the supply chain accounting by which cargoes are increasingly judged (Balcombe, Anderson, Speirs, Brandon, & Hawkes, 2017; Balcombe, Brandon, & Hawkes, 2018; Abrahams, Samaras, Griffin, & Matthews, 2015; Roman-White et al., 2021), and satellite observation has made facility flaring and venting externally visible (Elvidge, Zhizhin, Baugh, Hsu, & Ghosh, 2016; Ozowe, Ukato, Jambol, & Daramola, 2024). The safety dimension should not be lost in the emissions framing. A fugitive release in a facility handling large flammable inventories is a potential ignition scenario as well as an emission, and the survey programme accordingly interacts with the fixed gas detection layer, the hazardous area classification and the ignition control regime (Mannan, 2012; Crowl & Louvar, 2011; International Electrotechnical Commission, 2016; Center for Chemical Process Safety and Energy Institute, 2018; Sklet, 2006; Hollnagel, 2004; Reason, 1997; Kletz, 2001). Vigilance and inspection performance research bears directly on a survey conducted by a person walking a route with a camera, since detection under those conditions is a sustained-attention task (Mackworth, 1948; Warm, Parasuraman, & Matthews, 2008; Parasuraman & Manzey, 2010; Wickens & Hollands, 2000). The survey is also one activity inside a wider health, safety and environment programme, and the literature on occupational safety management in oil and gas maintenance work describes the organisational conditions under which inspection of this kind is actually performed (Obogo, Arumosoye, & Obriki, 2020c; Obogo, Ozobu, & Nwafor, 2024). Hazard observation and near-miss reporting supply the closest available analogue to a survey record, since both depend on a person choosing to look and then choosing to record what was seen (Arumosoye & Obriki, 2019; Obogo, Arumosoye, & Obriki, 2021), and the human error literature identifies the conditions under which that choice fails (Obriki & Arumosoye, 2020; Obriki & Arumosoye, 2018). Heat exposure in tropical process areas constrains dwell time and route length in ways that detection studies conducted in temperate test facilities do not capture (Arumosoye & Obriki, 2018), and emergency response readiness is the layer on which an undetected release ultimately falls (Arumosoye & Obriki, 2023).

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 The Distribution That Drives Everything

The single most consequential empirical finding in this area is that emissions across a component population are extremely unevenly distributed. A small proportion of components accounts for a large proportion of total emission, and the distribution is heavy tailed to a degree that makes summary statistics computed on the whole population misleading.

The characterisation of these distributions establishes that leak size distributions in natural gas systems follow extreme forms in which the largest sources dominate the total (Brandt, Heath, & Cooley, 2016). The measurement literature reaches the same conclusion from the field: measurements at production sites identified a small number of high-emitting sources contributing disproportionately (Allen et al., 2013), and the reconciliation work examining divergence between measurement scales attributes much of the divergence to such sources (Zavala-Araiza et al., 2015). The supply-chain scale assessment reaches compatible conclusions about the contribution of abnormal operating conditions and large sources to the total (Alvarez et al., 2018).

The consequence for programme design is direct and is the strongest argument for optical imaging. If the total is dominated by a small number of large sources, then the probability that a programme finds a given large source is what matters, and that probability is the product of the probability that the source is surveyed and the probability that it is detected given survey. A technique with lower per-component detection probability but much higher coverage can have a higher product, and for large sources, which are easier to detect optically, the per-component penalty is small.

The same reasoning identifies the condition under which the argument fails. Where the emission distribution is less skewed, or where the components not covered by the faster survey are systematically different from those covered, the coverage advantage does not compensate. The second condition is worth attention: optical imaging surveys what can be seen from an accessible position, and components that cannot be seen are not surveyed rather than being surveyed and passed. Instrument screening covers a defined list. The populations differ, and the difference is not random.

The policy analysis of distributed small sources develops the general implication that programmes addressing populations of many sources are governed by coverage and revisit frequency rather than by per-measurement sensitivity (Ravikumar & Brandt, 2017), and this is the framing this paper adopts. The finding has been sharpened considerably since it was first reported. Aircraft remote measurement established a heavy-tailed flux distribution directly (Frankenberg et al., 2016), airborne survey of a large producing region identified a small number of sources responsible for a disproportionate share of the regional total (Duren et al., 2019), and satellite assessment has extended the observation to global scale (Lauvaux et al., 2022). Most consequentially for survey design, the causal analysis establishes that super-emitters arise from ABNORMAL PROCESS CONDITIONS rather than from a distinct population of defective components, which means they are transient, unpredictable from component attributes, and invisible to any survey that happens not to coincide with them (Zavala-Araiza et al., 2017). The functional definition work supplies the operational criterion (Zavala-Araiza et al., 2015b), and the temporal variability finding explains a substantial part of the persistent gap between measurement scales (Vaughn et al., 2018; Cusworth et al., 2021). The site-level synthesis literature quantifies the skew directly across large samples (Omara et al., 2016, 2018; Robertson et al., 2017; Lyon et al., 2016), and the practical consequence for programme design has been modelled explicitly: where the total is dominated by transient high emitters, revisit frequency dominates per-survey sensitivity in determining how much of the annual total a programme captures (Kemp & Ravikumar, 2021; Fox, Barchyn, Risk, Ravikumar, & Hugenholtz, 2019). The proposition that coverage and revisit frequency govern outcome has an independent analogue in the safety inspection literature, where walkthrough frequency and coverage rather than inspection depth are the variables linked to programme outcomes (Obriki & Arumosoye, 2019). The associated work on hazard identification systems and on digital safety data streams treats the same allocation problem for populations too large to inspect exhaustively (Obogo, Ozobu, Nwafor, & Adio, 2025; Obriki & Arumosoye, 2023; Obriki, Arumosoye, & Obogo, 2023), and predictive analytics has been proposed as the means of directing effort toward the small subset of activity that carries most of the risk (Obogo, Ozobu, Garba, & Adio, 2026; Arumosoye, Obriki, & Ozobu, 2026).

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

2.2 What Field Detection Probability Actually Is

Optical gas imaging is marketed with sensitivity figures, and those figures describe controlled conditions. The empirical work on field detection establishes something considerably more qualified.

Controlled release testing of commercial infrared cameras establishes that detection probability is a function of emission rate, imaging distance, wind speed and operator practice, and that the rate at which detection becomes reliable under field conditions is substantially above the figures obtained under ideal conditions (Ravikumar, Wang, McGuire, Bell, Zimmerle, & Brandt, 2018). The finding is not that the technology underperforms its specification but that the specification describes conditions the field does not supply.

Four field variables dominate. Emission rate is the primary determinant and the relationship is steep, so that a source twice the size may be many times more likely to be detected. Distance degrades detection substantially, which matters in congested plant where the accessible imaging position may be well removed from the component. Wind disperses the plume and reduces the column density the camera integrates, so that detection is poorer in the conditions that also disperse the hazard. And operator practice, comprising camera settings, viewing angle relative to the background, dwell time and thermal contrast between plume and background, produces variation between operators surveying the same equipment that is large relative to the variation between equipment.

The last of these has an implication that is often missed. Where operator practice contributes substantially to detection probability, survey results are not comparable between operators or across time unless practice is standardised, and a programme reporting a reduction in findings may be reporting a change of surveyor. The same dependence on individual practice is documented in workforce inspection and behavioural safety programmes, where trained technique degrades between refreshers and reverts under production pressure (Obriki, Obogo, & Arumosoye, 2022a; Obriki, Obogo, & Arumosoye, 2023; Obriki & Arumosoye, 2022).

The broader review of close-range and screening technologies places these findings in context across the technology set and reaches the general conclusion that survey design rather than instrument capability determines programme performance (Fox, Barchyn, Risk, Ravikumar, & Hugenholtz, 2019). Inter-comparison studies conducted under blind conditions provide the most rigorous available evidence on how technologies perform when the operator does not know what is present (Ravikumar et al., 2019). Controlled testing has been extended and formalised since. Detection limits for optical gas imaging in natural gas service have been characterised under systematic variation of the governing parameters (Zimmerle et al., 2020), open-source simulation permits competing detection technologies to be compared on a common virtual gas field rather than on incompatible field trials (Kemp, Ravikumar, & Brandt, 2016), and satellite point-source detection has been validated under single-blind conditions (Ravikumar et al., 2019). The satellite and remote sensing literature sets out what can and cannot be resolved at each observing scale (Jacob et al., 2016, 2022; Varon et al., 2018). The sensing physics underlying the confounds is common to the wider industrial detection literature. Infrared response depends on the absorption characteristics of the species present and on thermal contrast with the background (Bagavathiappan, Lahiri, Saravanan, Philip, & Jayakumar, 2013; Jadin & Taib, 2012), acoustic methods detect through-boundary flow with their own dependence on differential pressure and background noise (Hu, Tariq, & Zayed, 2021), and instrument selection for the atmospheres actually encountered is a determination requiring knowledge of composition (Chou, 2000). Aerial platforms are the survey vehicle for extended assets, and unmanned aerial monitoring of pipelines and corridors has been reviewed as a practice with its own regulatory and operational constraints (Dagodzo & Ahiaeke Patrick, 2020). The industrial inspection literature outside emissions work supplies further calibration on what an operator-dependent detection method can be expected to deliver, since non-destructive testing of process equipment and of welds shows the same dependence on access, technique and interpretation (Atta, Tofah, Kankam, & Adenuga, 2020; Atta, Tofah, & Adenuga, 2021; Atta, Adjaklo, Asomani, & Adenuga, 2022). Fixed sensing removes the operator variable and substitutes its own, as vibration and thermal condition monitoring demonstrate (Omoegun, Sunday, Essien, & Oluokun, 2023; Sunday & Omoegun, 2022), and the instrument control and test automation literature indicates how far detection performance can be made repeatable once the measurement is scripted rather than hand held (Quainoo, Ogundapo, & Asiedu, 2025b). The camera is itself a semiconductor device, and its response is governed by charge transport and recombination behaviour in the detector material and by the deposition quality of the thin films from which such detectors are fabricated, which is part of where the divergence between a specification figure and a field figure originates (Atta, Onyishi, Appiah, & Adenuga, 2024; Atta, 2026a, 2026b).

2.3 Two Approaches, Two Blind Spots

The two approaches fail in different directions, and the difference is more useful for programme design than any overall ranking.

2.3.1 Optical imaging: small persistent sources

Optical imaging misses small persistent emissions. A component emitting below the field detection threshold will not be found however often it is surveyed, and it will continue emitting indefinitely. Individually such sources are minor; collectively across a large component population they are not, and they are invisible to the programme in a way that produces no record at all, so that the facility cannot know how much it is missing.

2.3.2 Instrument screening: unregistered components

Instrument screening misses large emissions from components not on the list. A screening programme covers a defined component population derived from a register, and the register is maintained by a process that lags plant modification. Components added during modification and not registered, temporary connections, and equipment in areas outside the programme scope are not screened. Because large sources dominate the total, a single unregistered large source can exceed the aggregate the programme found. The register problem is an asset data problem before it is a survey problem. Work on asset lifecycle management and inventory visibility describes how equipment records diverge from installed reality through modification and expedited replacement (Okonkwo, Mayo, & Okeke, 2023; Okonkwo, Ogunwole, & Okeke, 2018b), and the materials readiness literature shows the same divergence arising from maintenance-driven substitution (Okonkwo, Agbabiaka, Ogunwole, Mayo, & Okeke, 2021). Geospatial asset management offers one route to a register maintained from observation rather than from paperwork (Dagodzo & Ahiaeke Patrick, 2021a).

The failure modes are therefore complementary rather than overlapping, which is the technical argument for a combined programme. The practical form of the combination is optical imaging for broad and frequent coverage, with instrument screening applied to a defined subset,

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

typically the components with the highest historical emission frequency and those in locations where optical imaging is impeded. Aerial platforms extend the same coverage argument to areas that a walking survey reaches slowly or not at all, and the review evidence on unmanned inspection of transmission corridors, pipelines and rights of way transfers directly in its treatment of flight planning, sensor payload and detection confidence (Dagodzo, 2018a, 2018b; Dagodzo & Ahiaeke Patrick, 2021b, 2022, 2023a, 2025; Dagodzo, Ahiaeke Patrick, & Aliliele, 2022). In a hazardous area the binding constraint on such platforms is usually regulatory rather than technical (Dagodzo & Ahiaeke Patrick, 2023b).

A third element addresses both blind spots and is available where the facility has the instrumentation: aggregate measurement at a scale above the component, whether by area monitoring, by mass balance, or by external observation. Its value is not that it finds sources but that it bounds what the component-level programme has missed, and the persistent divergence between component-derived inventories and facility-scale measurement is the empirical demonstration that the bound is worth having. A third blind spot sits outside the component population entirely and deserves statement in a liquefaction context. Flares are assumed in most inventories to destroy methane at high efficiency, and field measurement establishes that the assumption fails in identifiable conditions, with unlit and inefficiently operating flares emitting large quantities of unburned methane (Plant et al., 2022; Caulton et al., 2014; Gvakharia et al., 2017). A survey programme covering every component perfectly would not detect this, and the flare is frequently the largest single potential source on the site (Ismail & Umukoro, 2012; American Petroleum Institute, 2014, 2020). Complementary detection physics and the machinery-integrity programmes that determine which components are accessible at all bear on both blind spots (Hu, Tariq, & Zayed, 2021; Bagavathiappan, Lahiri, Saravanan, Philip, & Jayakumar, 2013; Jadin & Taib, 2012; Mba & Rao, 2006; Jardine, Lin, & Banjevic, 2006).

2.4 The Quantification Problem

Both approaches identify sources. Neither measures them, and the gap between identification and quantification is where emission inventories acquire most of their uncertainty.

Instrument screening produces a concentration reading at the component surface, and this is converted to a mass emission rate by correlation equations derived from populations of measured components. The correlations have very wide scatter, so that a screening value maps to a range of emission rates spanning orders of magnitude. An inventory built by applying such correlations to a screening survey is accordingly a weak estimate presented as a number.

Optical imaging produces a binary detection, or at best an ordinal impression of plume size, and quantitative optical methods remain under development for field use. In practice a detection is converted to an emission estimate by assignment of a default factor, which is an even weaker basis.

The consequence is visible in the literature: emission estimates constructed from component-level surveys have repeatedly come in below estimates constructed from atmospheric measurement at facility and basin scale, and the reconciliation work attributes the divergence to a combination of unrepresented large sources and quantification error (Zavala-Araiza et al., 2015; Alvarez et al., 2018).

The practical implication for an operating facility is a caution about how survey results are used. A leak detection and repair programme is a good instrument for finding and fixing sources and a poor instrument for estimating a facility emission total, and reporting the second on the basis of the first produces a figure whose uncertainty is not conveyed. Where an emissions total is required for reporting or for target tracking, it should be derived from a method designed for quantification, and the survey programme should be reported on its own terms as sources found and repaired. The divergence between measurement scales has been documented across the whole supply chain, and the component-level studies are worth reading as a set because each isolates a different segment. Production site measurement established the pattern first (Allen et al., 2013), with pneumatic controllers and liquid unloadings examined separately (Allen et al., 2015a, 2015b). Gathering and processing (Marchese et al., 2015; Mitchell et al., 2015), transmission and storage (Zimmerle et al., 2015), compressor stations (Subramanian et al., 2015) and local distribution (Lamb et al., 2015) were characterised in a coordinated programme. The synthesis work reconciling these with atmospheric measurement is where the inventory gap became undeniable (Brandt et al., 2014; Alvarez, Pacala, Winebrake, Chameides, & Hamburg, 2012; Rutherford et al., 2021). Emission factor development and the inventory methods that rest on it are where the quantification gap becomes a reporting problem rather than a measurement one (Allen, 2014; Alvarez, Pacala, Winebrake, Chameides, & Hamburg, 2012; Brandt et al., 2014; United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2016). The reporting problem has a data governance dimension that the measurement literature does not usually address. Where a number is assembled from heterogeneous records and then used externally, its provenance and sensitivity classification determine whether the uncertainty survives the journey (Aliliele, Mbonu, & Iwuanyanwu, 2024a), and automated environmental reporting systems make that traceability a design requirement rather than an afterthought (Abioye et al., 2023; Okojie, Filani, Ike, Okojokwu-Idu, Nnabueze, & Ihwughwavwe, 2023). Digital twin approaches to environmental compliance and predictive monitoring of emissions at asset and district scale illustrate what a continuously updated inventory would require (Ike, Okojie, Nnabueze, Idu, Filani, & Ihwughwavwe, 2025; Okojie, Ike, Idu, Nnabueze, Filani, & Ihwughwavwe, 2023). Statistical treatment of skewed and intermittent series is the corresponding analytical requirement, and the anomaly detection and long-range forecasting literature is instructive on both the method and its failure modes (Atakpa & Fobellah, 2023; Atakpa, Abolaji, & Abetoh, 2024; Adelanwa, Basnet, & Anene, 2023b). Carbon accounting frameworks then determine how the resulting figure is used in project and portfolio decisions (Abdulhadi & Hamdan, 2026a, 2026b).

3. DISCUSSION AND DESIGN IMPLICATIONS

3.1 Survey Design for a Liquefaction Facility

Several features of a large liquefaction plant modify the general position and warrant specific attention.

The component population is large and congested. Congestion impedes optical imaging both by limiting viewing positions and by producing thermal backgrounds that reduce contrast, and it is worst in exactly the areas where component density is highest. Survey planning should identify positions from which coverage is achievable and record which components are not viewable from any of them, since those constitute the programme's known blind area and are the natural population for instrument screening.

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

The material spans a composition range. Optical imaging response depends on the absorption characteristics of the species present, and a camera optimised for methane responds differently to the heavier hydrocarbons present in the fractionation and refrigerant systems. Refrigerant loss in particular may be poorly detected by a methane-optimised instrument, and refrigerant is both a product loss and, for some refrigerants, an emissions consideration in its own right.

Cryogenic service introduces a distinctive condition. A leak in cryogenic service may produce a visible ice or condensation signature that is more readily detected by inspection than by either survey method, and conversely a very cold plume has thermal contrast characteristics that differ from an ambient release. Neither the optical imaging literature nor the screening methods were developed with cryogenic service in view, and this is a genuine gap.

Finally, the safety framing differs. In a facility handling large flammable inventories, a fugitive emission is a potential ignition scenario as well as a loss and an emission, and the survey programme accordingly interacts with the fixed gas detection layer. The two are ordinarily managed separately, and the survey findings are not routinely used to assess whether fixed detector coverage is appropriate to where releases actually occur. That is a straightforward and unexploited use of the data. Reviews of liquefaction technology identify distributed sensing along pipelines and storage as a complement to periodic component survey, addressing the temporal gap that survey-based programmes cannot close by construction (Ozowe, Ukato, Jambol, & Daramola, 2024). The liquefaction process context is set out in the standard technical treatments (Mokhatab, Mak, Valappil, & Wood, 2014; Lim, Choi, & Moon, 2013; Kidnay, Parrish, & McCartney, 2011), and the flammability behaviour governing the safety dimension of a fugitive release is long established (Coward & Jones, 1952; Zabetakis, 1965; Crowl & Louvar, 2011; Mannan, 2012). Inspection technique for the surrounding asset integrity programme bears on which components are accessible for survey at all (Nwulu, Ozobu, Adikwu, Odujobi, & Onyekwe, 2024; Odujobi, Nwulu, Adikwu, Ozobu, & Onyekwe, 2024). Cryogenic service also alters the surrounding integrity picture, since materials selection, insulation condition and thermal cycling govern where releases originate, and the maintenance and coating regimes that control them are managed separately from the emissions programme (Nwulu, Ozobu, Adikwu, Odujobi, & Onyekwe, 2024b; Adikwu, Ozobu, Odujobi, Onyekwe, & Nwulu, 2023; Jardine, Lin, & Banjevic, 2006). Predictive and remote-sensing approaches to environmental early warning have been applied to subsurface and vapour migration problems where the release is not directly observable, and the sampling logic transfers to congested plant where the source is not visible from any accessible position (Azeez & Badmus, 2024b; Badmus, 2025). Adjacent process streams in a liquefaction facility carry their own environmental and integrity obligations, and the survey programme competes with them for the same inspection and analytical resource (Arumosoye, Obriki, & Ozobu, 2025). The produced water literature illustrates the pattern, since lifecycle risk assessment, treatment selection, decentralised systems for remote assets, beneficial reuse and data-driven performance optimisation together constitute a parallel monitoring problem with a parallel reporting burden (Falegan & Aniebonam, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026). Materials degradation determines where releases originate, so the corrosion literature bears both on component selection and on the inspection interval that governs whether a component is examined at all (Atta, Asomani, & Adenuga, 2018; Atta et al., 2025). Access constraints in congested and elevated plant are a lifting and rigging problem before they are a detection problem (Arumosoye & Obriki, 2022), and the incident prevention and facility governance literature describes how those constraints are negotiated in practice (Obogo, Obriki, & Arumosoye, 2021, 2022). Where facilities are planned for hydrogen and other emerging cryogenic services, the detection gap identified here will widen before it narrows (Monye et al., 2025).

3.2 Programme Measurement

The measures conventionally reported for such programmes are components surveyed, leaks found, and leaks repaired. Each measures activity and none measures the outcome. Repair is also a materials and contracting problem rather than a purely technical one, since the time to repair distribution is shaped by whether the part is in stock and whether the work can be scheduled into an available window. The procurement and supply chain literature for gas processing and critical energy infrastructure addresses precisely this coupling between materials readiness, procurement compliance and plant uptime (Agbabiaka, Okonkwo, Ogunwole, Mayo, & Okeke, 2019; Ogunwole, Okonkwo, Agbabiaka, Mayo, & Okeke, 2021; Okonkwo, Agbabiaka, Mayo, & Okeke, 2024b, 2024c; Okonkwo, Ogunwole, Mayo, & Okeke, 2021), and forecasting the demand for repair materials is a tractable analytics problem that few facilities treat as one (Aifuwa, Oshoba, Ogbuefi, Ike, Nnabueze, & Olatunde-Thorpe, 2020; Ike, Aifuwa, Nnabueze, Olatunde-Thorpe, Ogbuefi, Oshoba, & Akokodaripon, 2024a; Anene & Clement, 2022). Where repair is delivered by contract, sustainability and supplier performance criteria now sit alongside cost and schedule in how that contract is written (Akin-Oluyomi, Atima, & Akinleye, 2023a; Akin-Oluyomi, Okoruwa, Babatope, & Akokodaripon, 2023; Okojie & Abioye, 2020; Abdulhadi & Hamdan, 2026c). Programme design for preventive maintenance and for compliance with safety and environmental standards in energy projects supplies the surrounding structure (Yeboah, Enow, Ike, & Nnabueze, 2024, 2025), as does the project governance literature on how such programmes are held together across multinational operations (Amayo, Owulade, & Isi, 2023a, 2023b, 2024a, 2024b).

Four measures would be more informative and are computable from the same records. Coverage, expressed as the proportion of the registered component population surveyed within a defined period, distinguishes a programme that surveys the accessible components repeatedly from one that achieves coverage. Repeat finding rate, meaning the proportion of findings at components that were found leaking in a previous survey, indicates repair effectiveness and is a direct measure of whether the programme is fixing things or merely finding them. Time to repair, distributed rather than averaged, determines the emission integral more strongly than the finding rate does, since a source found and repaired promptly emits far less than one found and scheduled. And blind area, expressed as the proportion of the component population not viewable from any surveyed position, quantifies the programme's structural limitation. Indicator design of this kind is well developed in the safety literature and transfers with little modification. Leading signals are selected for their relationship to an outcome that occurs too rarely to be measured directly (Obriki, Arumosoye, & Ozobu, 2025), maturity models describe the trajectory a programme follows as its measures improve (Arumosoye & Obriki, 2021), and audit systems supply the independent check that the measures are being computed honestly (Obogo, Arumosoye, & Obriki, 2020a; Obriki & Arumosoye, 2024b). Where survey work is contracted out, the indicator set must also carry the contractor interface, which is where measurement most often degrades (Arumosoye & Obriki, 2020; Obriki & Arumosoye, 2024a; Obogo, Uduokhai, & Ozobu, 2021). The aviation safety oversight literature is unusually explicit about the same problem, having built predictive compliance monitoring, adaptive

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

management systems, benchmarking and audit maturity models on inspection records of exactly this type (Adeyelu, 2018, 2019, 2022, 2026; Adeyelu & Dagodzo, 2022, 2024a, 2024b). Service delivery and performance measurement frameworks from outside the safety domain add the portfolio view, in which a programme is judged on assured outcomes rather than on completed activity (Edivri & Oteri, 2022, 2024; Oteri & Edivri, 2026; Adelanwa, Basnet, & Anene, 2023a, 2024). Procedural standardisation is the mechanism that makes any of these measures comparable between surveyors, and the standard operating procedure and process improvement literature sets out both how it is achieved and how it decays (Ambali, Eyetsemitan, Oyeleye, & Fadayomi, 2021; Eyetsemitan, Oyeleye, Ambali, & Fadayomi, 2022, 2024b). Measures of this kind acquire force only when something depends on them, and the literature on incentive alignment and performance management in capital-intensive industries is direct about the consequence, since a measure attached to a reward becomes the object of effort whether or not it tracks the outcome intended (Oladepo & Oyediji, 2025c). The associated work on enterprise process optimisation and digital control systems describes the reporting architecture through which such measures are produced, controlled and audited (Oladepo & Oyediji, 2025a).

The second of these four measures deserves emphasis. Repair effectiveness for the component types involved is well below unity, and a programme that finds the same valve leaking on successive surveys has identified a repair problem rather than a detection problem. Facilities that do not track finding recurrence will interpret a stable finding rate as a stable leak population when it may be a small population found repeatedly. Independent evaluation of emerging measurement technologies under repeatable protocols is the mechanism by which programme claims can be checked, and it has developed into a small discipline of its own (Bell, Vaughn, & Zimmerle, 2020; Ravikumar et al., 2019). The regulatory frame determines what a facility may substitute for prescribed method, and the equivalence question is where the technology evidence meets the compliance requirement (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2016, 2017). The indicator selection principles developed for process safety apply directly, since a leak detection programme is a protective activity whose performance must be inferred from process measures rather than from outcomes that occur rarely (American Petroleum Institute, 2016; Health and Safety Executive, 2006; Center for Chemical Process Safety, 2007; Kerr, 1975). The commercial and regulatory context in which liquefaction emissions performance is now judged has been reviewed directly (Adekoya, Adefemi, Tula, Umoh, & Gidiagba, 2024; Omisola, Etukudoh, Okenwa, Owulade, & Isi, 2025; Digitemie, Onyeke, Adewoyin, & Dienagha, 2025). Controlled and blind evaluation of aerial and continuous monitoring technologies has extended the evidence base since the first camera trials (Sherwin, Chen, Ravikumar, & Brandt, 2021; Chen et al., 2022; Johnson, Tyner, & Szekeres, 2021; Zimmerle, Vaughn, Luck, Lauderdale, Keen, Harrison, Marchese, Williams, & Allen, 2020), and the sampling logic for heavy-tailed populations bears directly on how a survey programme should allocate effort (Brandt, Heath, & Cooley, 2016; Frankenberg et al., 2016; Vaughn et al., 2018). Continuous monitoring is the remedy this paper identifies for the intermittency that periodic survey cannot detect, and its feasibility turns on powering sensors in a hazardous area without cabling. Energy harvesting has been reviewed as the route to autonomous sensing and its limits assessed (W. Asiedu & Quainoo, 2023a), and predictive power management using lightweight learning has been proposed for devices operating under uncertain harvest, which is the condition a congested process area imposes (W. Asiedu, Quainoo, & Asiedu, 2026). The wider energy harvesting and intermittent networking literature sets out what such a deployment can and cannot promise, covering radio frequency harvesting strategies, the energy, reliability and latency trade-offs that follow from them, and reliable delivery from nodes that lose power between transmissions (W. Asiedu & Quainoo, 2023a, 2023b, 2024, 2025; W. Asiedu, Quainoo, & Asiedu, 2025). Radio performance in a steel-dense process area is the practical constraint, and the link-level modelling literature is where its magnitude is quantified (Quainoo, Ogundapo, & Asiedu, 2024, 2025a; Quainoo & Ogundapo, 2026a, 2026b). What is done with the resulting data stream is a separate question, and the predictive diagnostics literature indicates that the value lies in failure prediction rather than in monitoring as such (Komi & Adamolekun, 2021; Komi & Adeniji, 2023; Sunday, Omoegun, Essien, & Oluokun, 2020; Oyeleke, Eze, & Asiedu, 2026). Distributed control, edge processing and forecasting architectures developed for energy systems describe how such a network is organised once it exceeds a few hundred nodes (Adenuga, 2022; Komi, 2021; Komi & Adamolekun, 2022; Komi & Ganiu, 2023; Sunday, Omoegun, Essien, & Oluokun, 2019). A continuously connected sensing layer in a process area is also an operational technology security problem, and the industrial control system literature is unambiguous that monitoring infrastructure enlarges the attack surface it was installed to protect (Adebayo, Adegbite, & Ahmed, 2022, 2023; Adegbite, Adebayo, & Ahmed, 2020, 2022, 2023, 2024a, 2024b, 2025; Ahmed, Adegbite, & Adebayo, 2021; Aliliele, Mbonu, & Iwuanyanwu, 2023a; Mbonu, Iwuanyanwu, Uzoka, & Oluoha, 2019). Deployment at facility scale is ultimately a capital allocation question rather than a technical one, and the project finance and structured financing literature for capital-intensive infrastructure sets out how an investment justified by avoided loss and by compliance exposure rather than by revenue is appraised and funded (Oladepo & Oyediji, 2025b; Oyediji & Oladepo, 2025).

3.3 Jurisdictional Variation in Method, Frequency and Threshold

The positions developed above are stated in terms of what a survey programme detects, which is a technical question with a single answer. What an operator is permitted to do about it is not. Detection method, survey frequency and the threshold at which a finding becomes a leak are all set by the controlling instrument in the relevant jurisdiction, and those instruments differ from one another in structure and not merely in stringency. A recommendation to combine approaches, to raise coverage, or to substitute continuous monitoring for periodic survey is therefore bounded by which method the applicable subpart or permit names.

The United States federal position is built on a concentration threshold. Method 21 returns a reading in parts per million measured at the component, and the leak definitions in the referencing subparts are written against that number, commonly 500 ppmv for valves and connectors with higher figures for pumps. Optical gas imaging entered federal practice as an alternative work practice under 40 CFR 60.18, was designated best system of emission reduction in NSPS OOOOa, and was given a formal protocol as Appendix K to 40 CFR Part 60 in the March 2024 rule establishing NSPS OOOOb and Emission Guidelines OOOOc (United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2024). Two features of Appendix K matter for the argument here. Its applicability is narrow, since within OOOOb and OOOOc it is finalised for onshore natural gas processing plants and extends to other source categories only where a referencing subpart adopts it through rulemaking, and it is a protocol for locating leaks rather than for measuring them, being explicitly not applicable to direct emission rate determination. It also makes several of the operator-dependent variables discussed in Section 2.2 into compliance parameters, requiring verification of adequate thermal contrast in the field, recording of the survey path, and a defined senior operator role carrying a field-hours qualification. Monitoring frequency under OOOOb is set by site type and

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

equipment count rather than by any emission threshold, so the coverage argument developed in Section 3.2 operates within a frequency the operator does not choose.

The European position is built on a mass flow threshold instead. Regulation (EU) 2024/1787, in force since August 2024, leaves the choice of detection device to the operator and defines the repair obligation by emission rate, with the survey types distinguished by the level at which repair is triggered, on the order of 17 grams per hour for the less sensitive survey type and 1 gram per hour for the more sensitive (European Union, 2024). Operators were required to submit an LDAR programme to the competent authority by May 2025, a first repair attempt is required within five days of detection and completion within thirty days absent a documented safety or technical constraint, and minimum detection limits and techniques are to be fixed by implementing act, with best available technology applied in the interim. The regulation also permits advanced detection technologies, including continuous monitoring, to form part of an LDAR survey where they satisfy its requirements.

The structural difference has a direct bearing on how the analysis in this paper transfers. A threshold expressed as a mass flow is commensurable with the detection probability curves discussed in Section 2.2, since both are functions of emission rate, and the programme design argument therefore carries into the European regime without translation. A threshold expressed as a screening concentration is not commensurable in that way, and the relationship between a ppm reading at the component and the mass flow that reading implies is variable enough that the two cannot be mapped onto one another with confidence. Operators working to a concentration threshold should treat the coverage argument as a reason to add optical survey to instrument screening rather than as a reason to substitute one for the other, particularly since an optical survey does not by itself discharge a Method 21 obligation and facilities operating under consent decrees or state programmes that specify Method 21 have no discretion on the point.

A final qualification concerns stability. The United States federal requirements moved materially over the period during which this analysis was prepared, through a reconsideration announced in March 2025, an interim rule extending certain compliance deadlines later that year, and further revisions finalised in April 2026 that loosened provisions governing flares and vent gas, alongside statutory action deferring the waste emissions charge. Most of the monitoring and work practice requirements bearing on leak detection remained in force throughout, but the direction of travel is not settled and any facility-level programme design should be checked against the requirements current at the time of design rather than against those described here.

4. LIMITATIONS

This paper reports no field data and its comparison is drawn from the published literature, which is derived predominantly from upstream production and midstream operations in North America. The transfer to a large liquefaction facility is defensible on the physics and questionable on the component population characteristics, since the equipment mix, service conditions and maintenance regime differ.

The paper does not address quantitative optical gas imaging methods, which are developing and which would change the quantification analysis materially if they reach field maturity.

The cryogenic service question is raised and not answered. The absence of published work on optical detection performance for cryogenic releases is stated as a gap rather than filled, and it is a gap that a facility operating such equipment could address experimentally with modest effort and considerable value to the sector.

Finally, the paper treats detection and repair as a technical programme and does not address the regulatory framing, which in many jurisdictions prescribes the method, the frequency and the threshold, and which therefore constrains the survey design freedom the paper assumes. Three further limitations of the underlying evidence base should be recorded. The measurement programmes on which the distributional findings rest were conducted almost entirely in North American onshore production, gathering and transmission, and no comparable coordinated programme exists for liquefaction terminals. The technology evaluations were conducted under controlled release conditions that do not reproduce the congestion, elevation and thermal background of a liquefaction process area. And the reconciliation studies compare inventory methods against atmospheric measurement at basin scale, which does not resolve to the facility and therefore cannot validate a facility programme (Zavala-Araiza et al., 2015; Alvarez et al., 2018; Brandt, Heath, & Cooley, 2016; Allen et al., 2013; Ravikumar, Wang, McGuire, Bell, Zimmerle, & Brandt, 2018; Ravikumar & Brandt, 2017; Fox, Barchyn, Risk, Ravikumar, & Hugenholtz, 2019; Ravikumar et al., 2019). Evaluation and indicator design face the same structural difficulty here as elsewhere in this series (Robson et al., 2007; Hale, Guldenmund, van Loenhout, & Oh, 2010; Hale, 2009; Hollnagel, 2009; Rochlin, 1999; Bourrier, 1996; Carroll, 1998; Schulman, 1993). A further limitation is organisational rather than technical. The evidence that inspection programmes succeed or fail on leadership attention, on how practices are institutionalised, and on how risk control is governed across contractor boundaries is drawn largely from construction and general industrial settings, and its transfer to a permanent operating facility with a stable workforce is plausible but untested (Arumosoye & Obriki, 2024; Obogo, Nwafor, & Ozobu, 2023; Obriki & Arumosoye, 2021; Obogo, Ozobu, & Uduokhai, 2019b; Obogo, Uduokhai, & Ozobu, 2019; Obogo, Arumosoye, & Obriki, 2024).

5. CONCLUSION

The case for optical gas imaging over instrument screening rests on the extreme skew of the emission distribution, which makes coverage rather than per-component sensitivity the dominant determinant of how much of the total a programme finds. That premise is well supported and the conclusion follows from it.

The qualification is that field detection probability for optical imaging is a steep function of emission rate, distance, wind and operator technique, and that manufacturer sensitivity figures describe conditions the field does not supply. Where operator technique contributes substantially, survey results are not comparable across surveyors, and a programme reporting fewer findings may be reporting a change of personnel.

The two approaches have complementary blind spots. Optical imaging misses small persistent sources without producing any record of the miss; instrument screening misses large sources from components absent from the register. A combined programme is the technical answer and aggregate measurement above the component scale is the only available bound on what both have missed.

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Neither approach quantifies. A leak detection programme is a good instrument for finding and fixing and a poor one for estimating a facility total, and the divergence between component-derived inventories and facility-scale measurement is the demonstration.

For liquefaction specifically, congestion creates a structural blind area that should be measured rather than assumed away, methane-optimised cameras respond poorly to heavier hydrocarbons and refrigerants, and the behaviour of optical detection against cryogenic releases is essentially undocumented. Two adjacent literatures indicate where the next gains lie. Continuous rather than periodic monitoring addresses the intermittency that periodic survey cannot by construction detect, and its cost has fallen far enough to make facility-scale deployment a live question. And the process-condition finding, that the largest emissions arise from abnormal operation rather than from a distinct defective population, points the whole problem back toward operations rather than inspection, which is where a liquefaction facility already has instrumentation, alarm systems and shift staff watching continuously (Zavala-Araiza et al., 2017; Vaughn et al., 2018; Cusworth et al., 2021; Bell, Vaughn, & Zimmerle, 2020; Kemp & Ravikumar, 2021).

Competing Interests

O. A. Akano is employed by Chevron Nigeria Limited and F. E. Adikwu is employed by Waltersmith Refining and Petrochemical Company Ltd, both of which operate facilities subject to leak detection and repair requirements of the kind evaluated in this paper. O. Ekelemu is an independent researcher. The authors have participated professionally in the design or execution of leak detection and repair programmes, and that experience informed the framing of the analysis.

The views expressed are those of the authors and do not represent the positions of Chevron Nigeria Limited, of Waltersmith Refining and Petrochemical Company Ltd, or of any affiliate of either. Neither organisation funded the work, participated in the design of the analysis, influenced the interpretation of the evidence, or exercised any right of approval over the decision to submit for publication.

The authors declare no financial or consultancy relationship with any manufacturer, vendor or service provider of optical gas imaging equipment, portable screening instruments, continuous monitoring systems or leak detection and repair contracting services, and hold no financial interest in any such entity. No funding was received for this work.

REFERENCES

1) Abdulhadi, B. M., & Hamdan, A. M. (2026a). Carbon-driven decision gates: Integrating embodied carbon accounting into construction project lifecycle governance. Research Journal of Pure Science and Technology, 9(5), 48-82.
2) Abdulhadi, B. M., & Hamdan, A. M. (2026b). Net-zero project delivery: A maturity model for carbon management capability in construction organizations. International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology, 12(6), 199-233.
3) Abdulhadi, B. M., & Hamdan, A. M. (2026c). Sustainability-linked contracting and incentive alignment in integrated project delivery: A conceptual framework. International Journal of Social Sciences and Management Research, 12(6), 466-501.
4) Abioye, R. F., Okojie, J. S., Filani, O. M., Ike, P. N., Idu, J. O. O., Nnabueze, S. B., Okojokwu-Idu, J. O., & Ihwughwavwe, S. I. (2023). Automated ESG reporting in energy projects using blockchain-driven smart compliance management systems. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Evolutionary Research, 4(2), 120-129.
5) Abrahams, L. S., Samaras, C., Griffin, W. M., & Matthews, H. S. (2015). Life cycle greenhouse gas emissions from U.S. liquefied natural gas exports: Implications for end uses. Environmental Science and Technology, 49(5), 3237-3245.
6) Adebayo, A., Adegbite, M. P., & Ahmed, M. O. (2022). Adversarial machine learning in critical infrastructure: A conceptual framework for threat modeling AI enabled OT systems. World Journal of Innovation and Modern Technology, 6(1), 184-234.
7) Adebayo, A., Adegbite, M. P., & Ahmed, M. O. (2023). AI augmented threat detection in industrial control systems: A systematic review of machine learning approaches for ICS anomaly detection. International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology, 9(3), 287-340.
8) Adegbite, M. P., Adebayo, A., & Ahmed, M. O. (2020). Securing operational technology networks in electric utilities: A systematic review of NERC CIP compliance and architectural threat mitigation. International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology, 6(3), 103-146.
9) Adegbite, M. P., Adebayo, A., & Ahmed, M. O. (2022). A security architecture model for IT and OT convergence in regulated energy networks: Design principles and governance alignment. International Journal of Computer Science and Mathematical Theory, 8(2), 81-132.
10) Adegbite, M. P., Adebayo, A., & Ahmed, M. O. (2023). ICS and SCADA threat detection architectures in energy sector networks: A systematic review of SIEM, NDR, and anomaly detection approaches. World Journal of Innovation and Modern Technology, 7(2), 121-182.
11) Adegbite, M. P., Adebayo, A., & Ahmed, M. O. (2024a). A vulnerability governance architecture for power and utilities corporations: From exposure mapping to remediation verification. World Journal of Innovation and Modern Technology, 8(6), 185-246.
12) Adegbite, M. P., Adebayo, A., & Ahmed, M. O. (2024b). An AI driven security operations architecture for utility sector SOCs: Integrating threat intelligence, behavioral analytics, and automated response. International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology, 10(11), 197-256.
13) Adegbite, M. P., Adebayo, A., & Ahmed, M. O. (2025). A cyber risk quantification and governance architecture for critical infrastructure: From posture measurement to executive reporting. International Journal of Computer Science and Mathematical Theory, 11(12), 232-293.
14) Adekoya, O. O., Adefemi, A., Tula, O. A., Umoh, A. A., & Gidiagba, J. O. (2024). A comprehensive review of liquefied natural gas (LNG) market dynamics. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 21(1), 58-74.
15) Adelanwa, A., Basnet, A., & Anene, U. N. (2023a). Data driven digital transformation models for lifecycle performance management in infrastructure delivery. International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies, 3(6), 2646-2662.

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

16) Adelanwa, A., Basnet, A., & Anene, U. N. (2023b). Predictive analytics models for financial risk detection and fraud prevention in public systems. International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies, 3(6).
17) Adelanwa, A., Basnet, A., & Anene, U. N. (2024). Performance intelligence models for optimization and outcome measurement in large scale public services. Shodhshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 6(1).
18) Adenuga, O. M. (2022). Smart grid architectures and energy distribution for high renewable penetration: A comprehensive review of technologies, operations, and deployment pathways. International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology, 8(5), 125-155.
19) Adeyelu, O. O. (2018). A predictive compliance monitoring framework for detecting systemic safety risks through aviation consumer complaint data. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 1(8), 250-276.
20) Adeyelu, O. O. (2019). An adaptive safety management system implementation model for aerodromes in developing economy contexts. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 3(4), 628-654.
21) Adeyelu, O. O. (2022). A regulatory evidence framework for assessing unauthorized structure violations within protected airport obstacle limitation surfaces. International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering, 6(6), 248-283.
22) Adeyelu, O. O. (2026). A root-cause investigation framework for recurring runway wildlife hazards and standardized corrective action protocols at Nigerian international airports. International Journal of Social Sciences and Management Research, 12(5), 842-880.
23) Adeyelu, O. O., & Dagodzo, D. (2022). A comparative benchmarking model for aerodrome certification compliance across developing economy civil aviation authorities. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 3(6), 1016-1035.
24) Adeyelu, O. O., & Dagodzo, D. (2024a). A maturity model for predicting airport safety audit outcomes in resource-constrained regulatory environments. International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering, 8(4), 132-170.
25) Adeyelu, O. O., & Dagodzo, D. (2024b). Advances in artificial intelligence and data-driven wildlife hazard monitoring and incident reduction at international airports in West Africa. International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology, 11(5), 872-910.
26) Adikwu, F. E., Ozobu, C. O., Odujobi, O., Onyekwe, F. O., & Nwulu, E. O. (2023). Advances in EHS compliance: A conceptual model for standardizing health, safety, and hygiene programs across multinational corporations. IRE Journals, 7(5), 360-372.
27) Agbabiaka, J., Okonkwo, C. S., Ogunwole, O., Mayo, W., & Okeke, O. T. (2019). Supply chain risk management model for EPC and gas processing projects. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 3(2), 968-980.
28) Ahmed, M. O., Adegbite, M. P., & Adebayo, A. (2021). Zero trust architecture for operational technology in North American critical infrastructure: A framework for implementation and resilience optimization. International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology, 7(1), 66-113.
29) Aifuwa, S. E., Oshoba, T. O., Ogbuefi, E., Ike, P. N., Nnabueze, S. B., & Olatunde-Thorpe, J. (2020). Predictive analytics models enhancing supply chain demand forecasting accuracy and reducing inventory management inefficiencies. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 1(3), 171-181.
30) Akin-Oluyomi, O. T., Atima, M. E., & Akinleye, O. K. (2023a). Green procurement strategies for balancing cost efficiency with long-term environmental responsibility. International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies, 3(6), 2183-2193.
31) Akin-Oluyomi, O. T., Okoruwa, P. O., Babatope, O. M., & Akokodaripon, D. A. (2023). Evaluating supplier sustainability metrics through data-driven procurement and supply chain frameworks. International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies, 3(6), 2213-2223.
32) Aliliele, C., Mbonu, I. S., & Iwuanyanwu, U. (2023a). A conceptual framework for continuous cloud misconfiguration monitoring and enterprise risk mitigation strategies. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 9(10), 373-394.
33) Aliliele, C., Mbonu, I. S., & Iwuanyanwu, U. (2024a). A conceptual framework for enterprise data sensitivity classification and regulatory traceability mechanisms. International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies, 4(6), 3103-3124.
34) Allen, D. T. (2014). Atmospheric emissions and air quality impacts from natural gas production and use. Annual Review of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, 5, 55-75.
35) Allen, D. T., Pacsi, A. P., Sullivan, D. W., Zavala-Araiza, D., Harrison, M., Keen, K., Fraser, M. P., Hill, A. D., Sawyer, R. F., & Seinfeld, J. H. (2015a). Methane emissions from process equipment at natural gas production sites in the United States: Pneumatic controllers. Environmental Science and Technology, 49(1), 633-640.
36) Allen, D. T., Sullivan, D. W., Zavala-Araiza, D., Pacsi, A. P., Harrison, M., Keen, K., Fraser, M. P., Hill, A. D., Lamb, B. K., Sawyer, R. F., & Seinfeld, J. H. (2015b). Methane emissions from process equipment at natural gas production sites in the United States: Liquid unloadings. Environmental Science and Technology, 49(1), 641-648.
37) Allen, D. T., Torres, V. M., Thomas, J., Sullivan, D. W., Harrison, M., Hendler, A., Herndon, S. C., Kolb, C. E., Fraser, M. P., Hill, A. D., Lamb, B. K., Miskimins, J., Sawyer, R. F., & Seinfeld, J. H. (2013). Measurements of methane emissions at natural gas production sites in the United States. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110(44), 17768-17773.
38) Allen, M. R., Fuglestvedt, J. S., Shine, K. P., Reisinger, A., Pierrehumbert, R. T., & Forster, P. M. (2016). New use of global warming potentials to compare cumulative and short-lived climate pollutants. Nature Climate Change, 6(8), 773-776.
39) Alvarez, R. A., Pacala, S. W., Winebrake, J. J., Chameides, W. L., & Hamburg, S. P. (2012). Greater focus needed on methane leakage from natural gas infrastructure. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 109(17), 6435-6440.
40) Alvarez, R. A., Zavala-Araiza, D., Lyon, D. R., Allen, D. T., Barkley, Z. R., Brandt, A. R., Davis, K. J., Herndon, S. C., Jacob, D. J., Karion, A., Kort, E. A., Lamb, B. K., Lauvaux, T., Maasakkers, J. D., Marchese, A. J., Omara, M., Pacala, S. W., Peischl, J., Robinson, A. L., Shepson, P. B., Sweeney, C., Townsend-Small, A., Wofsy, S. C., & Hamburg, S. P. (2018). Assessment of methane emissions from the U.S. oil and gas supply chain. Science, 361(6398), 186-188.

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

41) Amayo, E. B., Owulade, O. A., & Isi, L. R. (2023a). Optimizing project governance in multinational infrastructure projects: Insights from General Electric's global operations. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 4(1), 975-983.
42) Amayo, E. B., Owulade, O. A., & Isi, L. R. (2023b). Risk management strategies and compliance frameworks in healthcare infrastructure projects: Case studies from West Africa. International Journal of Management and Organizational Research, 2(1), 161-168.
43) Amayo, E. B., Owulade, O. A., & Isi, L. R. (2024a). Best practices for managing data center lifecycle projects: Ensuring security, efficiency, and compliance in U.S. enterprises. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 7(7), 598-617.
44) Amayo, E. B., Owulade, O. A., & Isi, L. R. (2024b). Effective project governance in multinational infrastructure projects: A case study from General Electric. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 8(5), 1305-1324.
45) Ambali, K. B., Eyetsemitan, R. A., Oyeleye, A. O., & Fadayomi, O. (2021). Lean Six Sigma for small enterprises: A systematic review and Lite-DMAIC adaptation framework for resource-constrained organizations. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 5(5), 562-583.
46) American Petroleum Institute. (2014). Pressure-relieving and depressuring systems (API Standard 521, 6th ed.). API Publishing Services.
47) American Petroleum Institute. (2016). Process safety performance indicators for the refining and petrochemical industries (API Recommended Practice 754, 2nd ed.). API Publishing Services.
48) American Petroleum Institute. (2020). Flare details for general refinery and petrochemical service (API Standard 537, 4th ed.). API Publishing Services.
49) Anene, U. N., & Clement, T. (2022). A resilient logistics framework for humanitarian supply chains: Integrating predictive analytics, IoT, and localized distribution to strengthen emergency response systems. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 8(5), 398-424.
50) Arumosoye, O. M., & Obriki, O. D. (2018). Development of an integrated heat stress risk conceptual model for industrial operations in extreme environments. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 1(12), 141-160.
51) Arumosoye, O. M., & Obriki, O. D. (2019). Systematic review of near-miss and hazard observation data utilization in industrial safety management. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 3(2), 981-999.
52) Arumosoye, O. M., & Obriki, O. D. (2020). A governance-oriented conceptual model for contractor safety performance in multi-contract industrial projects. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 1(5), 728-740.
53) Arumosoye, O. M., & Obriki, O. D. (2021). Organizational learning-based conceptual maturity model for continuous safety performance improvement. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 2(1), 970-980.
54) Arumosoye, O. M., & Obriki, O. D. (2022). Conceptual risk pathway model for lifting and rigging operations in heavy industrial construction. Gyanshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 5(5), 346-369.
55) Arumosoye, O. M., & Obriki, O. D. (2023). Conceptual model for emergency response readiness and capability in energy and process facilities. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 9(3), 897-917.
56) Arumosoye, O. M., & Obriki, O. D. (2024). Conceptual model of safety leadership influence in large temporary project organizations. International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies, 4(6), 3034-3047.
57) Arumosoye, O. M., Obriki, O. D., & Ozobu, C. O. (2025). Conceptual framework for environmental risk control and ESG performance through waste handling and operational discipline. Gyanshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 8(4), 177-198.
58) Arumosoye, O. M., Obriki, O. D., & Ozobu, C. O. (2026). Systematic review of predictive safety analytics applications in LNG projects with ESG implications. Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Review, 2(2), 61-73.
59) Asiedu, W., & Quainoo, R. (2023a). How far can energy harvesting take us? A systematic review of radio frequency strategies for energy autonomous sensing. Shodhshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 6(1), 448-466.
60) Asiedu, W., & Quainoo, R. (2023b). Toward maintenance free wireless infrastructure: Simulating performance and reliability in large scale intermittently powered IoT networks. Gyanshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 6(1), 489-510.
61) Asiedu, W., & Quainoo, R. (2024). Rethinking energy, reliability, and latency trade-offs in green communication for next generation IoT. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 5(6), 1987-1994.
62) Asiedu, W., & Quainoo, R. (2025). GleanCast: Epoch-aligned reliable broadcast in batteryless intermittent sensor networks. International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology, 11(12), 205-218.
63) Asiedu, W., Quainoo, R., & Asiedu, A. (2025). A conceptual framework for characterizing fundamental energy, reliability, and latency trade-offs in green communication paradigms for next-generation IoT. International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies, 5(6), 2447-2453.
64) Asiedu, W., Quainoo, R., & Asiedu, A. (2026). Predictive power management for intermittent IoT devices using lightweight machine learning under uncertain energy harvest. Gulf Journal of Engineering and Technology, 2(4), 108-118.
65) Atakpa, M. I., Abolaji, T. O., & Abetoh, N. F. (2024). Statistical time-series models for long-range public health trend forecasting: A review and conceptual framework. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 10(6), 2748-2780.
66) Atakpa, M. I., & Fobellah, A. N. (2023). Anomaly detection in financial time-series data: A conceptual model for healthcare and banking applications. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 9(2), 967-997.
67) Atta, S. (2026a). Instability patterns in optoelectronic heterojunctions in GeS nanowires. MRS Bulletin, 51, 643.
68) Atta, S. (2026b). Unraveling spray pyrolysis mechanisms: Droplet dynamics and film formation in CdTe thin-film photocathodes. Manuscript in preparation.
69) Atta, S., Adjaklo, E., Asomani, E., & Adenuga, O. M. (2022). Advances in welding inspection standards and quality assurance practices in large-scale power generation projects. International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology, 8(5), 101-124.

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Corresponding Author: Chukwunenye Amadi

70) Atta, S., Asomani, E., & Adenuga, O. M. (2018). A systematic review of green corrosion inhibitors from agricultural extracts for mild steel protection in acidic and alkaline media. International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology, 4(1), 64-97.
71) Atta, S., Kerubo, M. L., Sabisa, N. E., Adu-Gyamfi, D., Appiah, S., & Onyishi, E. (2025). Environmental corrosion and long-term degradation of crystalline silicon solar cells: Mechanisms, climate effects and mitigation strategies. Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology, 44(10), 9-18.
72) Atta, S., Onyishi, E. E., Appiah, S. A., & Adenuga, O. M. (2024). Theoretical analysis of charge transport and recombination losses in thin film solar cell architectures. International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology, 10(10), 147-170.
73) Atta, S., Tofah, P., & Adenuga, O. M. (2021). Advances in non-destructive testing methods for weld integrity evaluation in high-capacity power infrastructure. International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology, 7(1), 20-47.
74) Atta, S., Tofah, P., Kankam, M. A., & Adenuga, O. M. (2020). A critical review of NDT-based integrity management and corrosion risk assessment strategies for refinery process equipment. International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology, 6(2), 19-46.
75) Azeez, L. O., & Badmus, O. (2024b). Predictive analytical framework for identifying vapor intrusion risks across urban redevelopment zones. International Journal of Scientific Research in Humanities and Social Sciences, 1(1), 524-555.
76) Badmus, A. L. O. (2025). Integrated predictive and remote-sensing framework for early warning and regulatory compliance in environmentally sensitive urban zones. IIARD International Journal of Geography and Environmental Management, 11(12), 212-239.
77) Bagavathiappan, S., Lahiri, B. B., Saravanan, T., Philip, J., & Jayakumar, T. (2013). Infrared thermography for condition monitoring: A review. Infrared Physics and Technology, 60, 35-55.
78) Balcombe, P., Anderson, K., Speirs, J., Brandon, N., & Hawkes, A. (2017). The natural gas supply chain: The importance of methane and carbon dioxide emissions. ACS Sustainable Chemistry and Engineering, 5(1), 3-20.
79) Balcombe, P., Brandon, N. P., & Hawkes, A. D. (2018). Characterising the distribution of methane and carbon dioxide emissions from the natural gas supply chain. Journal of Cleaner Production, 172, 2019-2032.
80) Bell, C., Vaughn, T., & Zimmerle, D. (2020). Evaluation of next generation emission measurement technologies under repeatable test protocols. Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene, 8, 32.
81) Bourrier, M. (1996). Organizing maintenance work at two American nuclear power plants. Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management, 4(2), 104-112.
82) Brandt, A. R., Heath, G. A., & Cooley, D. (2016). Methane leaks from natural gas systems follow extreme distributions. Environmental Science and Technology, 50(22), 12512-12520.
83) Brandt, A. R., Heath, G. A., Kort, E. A., O'Sullivan, F., Pétron, G., Jordaan, S. M., Tans, P., Wilcox, J., Gopstein, A. M., Arent, D., Wofsy, S., Brown, N. J., Bradley, R., Stucky, G. D., Eardley, D., & Harriss, R. (2014). Methane leaks from North American natural gas systems. Science, 343(6172), 733-735.
84) Carroll, J. S. (1998). Organizational learning activities in high-hazard industries. Journal of Management Studies, 35(6), 699-717.
85) Caulton, D. R., Shepson, P. B., Cambaliza, M. O. L., McCabe, D., Baum, E., & Stirm, B. H. (2014). Methane destruction efficiency of natural gas flares associated with shale formation wells. Environmental Science and Technology, 48(16), 9548-9554.
86) Center for Chemical Process Safety. (2007). Guidelines for risk based process safety. Wiley.
87) Center for Chemical Process Safety & Energy Institute. (2018). Bow ties in risk management: A concept book for process safety. Wiley.
88) Chen, Y., Sherwin, E. D., Berman, E. S. F., Jones, B. B., Gordon, M. P., Wetherley, E. B., Kort, E. A., & Brandt, A. R. (2022). Quantifying regional methane emissions in the New Mexico Permian Basin with a comprehensive aerial survey. Environmental Science and Technology, 56(7), 4317-4323.
89) Chou, J. (2000). Hazardous gas monitors: A practical guide to selection, operation and applications. McGraw-Hill.
90) Coward, H. F., & Jones, G. W. (1952). Limits of flammability of gases and vapors (Bulletin 503). United States Bureau of Mines.
91) Crowl, D. A., & Louvar, J. F. (2011). Chemical process safety: Fundamentals with applications (3rd ed.). Prentice Hall.
92) Cusworth, D. H., Duren, R. M., Thorpe, A. K., Olson-Duvall, W., Heckler, J., Chapman, J. W., Eastwood, M. L., Helmlinger, M. C., Green, R. O., Asner, G. P., Dennison, P. E., & Miller, C. E. (2021). Intermittency of large methane emitters in the Permian Basin. Environmental Science and Technology Letters, 8(7), 567-573.
93) Dagodzo, D. (2018a). A conceptual framework for UAV integration into national power grid inspection programs. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 2(5), 391-412.
94) Dagodzo, D. (2018b). A review of UAV applications in electrical transmission line inspection: Methods, technologies, and challenges. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 2(6), 234-254.
95) Dagodzo, D., & Ahiaeke Patrick, M. C. (2020). UAV-based pipeline and corridor monitoring: A review of current practices and emerging technologies. IRE Journals, 3(10), 574-597.
96) Dagodzo, D., & Ahiaeke Patrick, M. C. (2021a). A review of GIS applications in utility asset management and infrastructure planning. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 5(3), 468-492.
97) Dagodzo, D., & Ahiaeke Patrick, M. C. (2021b). An integrated framework for UAV, LiDAR, and GIS in infrastructure corridor management. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 7(5), 497-524.
98) Dagodzo, D., & Ahiaeke Patrick, M. C. (2022). A review of right-of-way encroachment detection methods using geospatial technologies. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 8(1), 638-667.
99) Dagodzo, D., & Ahiaeke Patrick, M. C. (2023a). A framework for integrating drone operations into enterprise GIS systems for utility companies. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 9(4), 924-957.

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

100) Dagodzo, D., & Ahiaeke Patrick, M. C. (2023b). A review of UAV regulatory frameworks in developing economies: Progress, gaps, and recommendations. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 9(4), 958-994.
101) Dagodzo, D., & Ahiaeke Patrick, M. C. (2025). A framework for national-scale UAV deployment in power infrastructure: Lessons from developing economies. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 11(4), 779-824.
102) Dagodzo, D., Ahiaeke Patrick, M. C., & Aliliele, C. (2022). AI and deep learning for vegetation classification in power corridor management: A review. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 8(1), 668-698.
103) Digitemie, W. N., Onyeke, F. O., Adewoyin, M. A., & Dienagha, I. N. (2025). Implementing circular economy principles in oil and gas: Addressing waste management and resource reuse for sustainable operations. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 6(1), 99-104.
104) Duren, R. M., Thorpe, A. K., Foster, K. T., Rafiq, T., Hopkins, F. M., Yadav, V., Bue, B. D., Thompson, D. R., Conley, S., Colombi, N. K., Frankenberg, C., McCubbin, I. B., Eastwood, M. L., Falk, M., Herner, J. D., Croes, B. E., Green, R. O., & Miller, C. E. (2019). California methane super-emitters. Nature, 575(7781), 180-184.
105) Edivri, J., & Oteri, O. (2022). Predictive capacity planning and resource utilization forecasting models for multi-program and multi-stakeholder IT portfolios. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 8(4), 826-845.
106) Edivri, J., & Oteri, O. (2024). A conceptual KPI-driven decision and optimization framework for IT service delivery, portfolio performance, and adoption. Gyanshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 7(1), 167-187.
107) Elvidge, C. D., Zhizhin, M., Baugh, K., Hsu, F.-C., & Ghosh, T. (2016). Methods for global survey of natural gas flaring from Visible Infrared Imaging Radiometer Suite data. Energies, 9(1), 14.
108) European Union. (2024). Regulation (EU) 2024/1787 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 June 2024 on the reduction of methane emissions in the energy sector and amending Regulation (EU) 2019/942. Official Journal of the European Union, L, 2024/1787.
109) Eyetsemitan, R. A., Oyeleye, A. O., Ambali, K. B., & Fadayomi, O. (2022). Standard operating procedures as strategic assets in small business operations: A systematic review and implementation framework. Gyanshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 5(2), 438-465.
110) Eyetsemitan, R. A., Oyeleye, A. O., Ambali, K. B., & Fadayomi, O. (2024b). Data-driven process optimization in micro-enterprises: A conceptual framework for funnel analysis and bottleneck identification. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 5(6), 1950-1968.
111) Falegan, O. C., & Aniebonam, S. O. (2022). Conceptual framework for lifecycle risk assessment in offshore produced water management. Gyanshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 5(5), 322-345.
112) Falegan, O. C., & Aniebonam, S. O. (2023). Integrated physicochemical and bio-based treatment strategies for produced water in arid environments: A review. Gyanshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 6(1), 425-443.
113) Falegan, O. C., & Aniebonam, S. O. (2024). Decentralized produced water treatment systems for remote energy assets: A conceptual design framework. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 10(3), 1133-1151.
114) Falegan, O. C., & Aniebonam, S. O. (2025). Resource recovery and beneficial reuse of produced water in energy operations: A review of sustainable pathways. International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies, 5(6), 1959-1969.
115) Falegan, O. C., & Aniebonam, S. O. (2026). Data-driven performance optimization of produced water treatment infrastructure: A conceptual modeling approach. Engineering and Technology Journal, 11(1), 8764-8777.
116) Fox, T. A., Barchyn, T. E., Risk, D., Ravikumar, A. P., & Hugenholtz, C. H. (2019). A review of close-range and screening technologies for mitigating fugitive methane emissions in upstream oil and gas. Environmental Research Letters, 14(5), 053002.
117) Frankenberg, C., Thorpe, A. K., Thompson, D. R., Hulley, G., Kort, E. A., Vance, N., Borchardt, J., Krings, T., Gerilowski, K., Sweeney, C., Conley, S., Bue, B. D., Aubrey, A. D., Hook, S., & Green, R. O. (2016). Airborne methane remote measurements reveal heavy-tail flux distribution in Four Corners region. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113(35), 9734-9739.
118) Gvakharia, A., Kort, E. A., Brandt, A., Peischl, J., Ryerson, T. B., Schwarz, J. P., Smith, M. L., & Sweeney, C. (2017). Methane, black carbon, and ethane emissions from natural gas flares in the Bakken Shale, North Dakota. Environmental Science and Technology, 51(9), 5317-5325.
119) Hale, A. (2009). Why safety performance indicators? Safety Science, 47(4), 479-480.
120) Hale, A. R., Guldenmund, F. W., van Loenhout, P. L. C. H., & Oh, J. I. H. (2010). Evaluating safety management and culture interventions to improve safety. Safety Science, 48(8), 1026-1035.
121) Health and Safety Executive. (2006). Developing process safety indicators: A step-by-step guide for chemical and major hazard industries (HSG254). HSE Books.
122) Hollnagel, E. (2004). Barriers and accident prevention. Ashgate.
123) Hollnagel, E. (2009). The ETTO principle: Efficiency-thoroughness trade-off. Ashgate.
124) Hu, Z., Tariq, S., & Zayed, T. (2021). A comprehensive review of acoustic based leak localization method in pressurized pipelines. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 161, 107994.

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

125) Ike, P. N., Aifuwa, S. E., Nnabueze, S. B., Olatunde-Thorpe, J., Ogbuefi, E., Oshoba, T. O., & Akokodaripon, D. (2024a). Quantitative risk architecture for public-private partnerships: A multi-layered model for allocating public and private risk. International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies, 4(6), 2669-2682.
126) Ike, P. N., Okojie, J. S., Nnabueze, S. B., Idu, J. O. O., Filani, O. M., & Ihwughwavwe, S. I. (2025). Digital twin-driven environmental compliance models for sustainable procurement in oil, gas, and utilities. International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies, 5(5), 562-576.
127) Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2021). Climate change 2021: The physical science basis. Cambridge University Press.
128) International Electrotechnical Commission. (2016). Functional safety: Safety instrumented systems for the process industry sector (IEC 61511). IEC.
129) Ismail, O. S., & Umukoro, G. E. (2012). Global impact of gas flaring. Energy and Power Engineering, 4(4), 290-302.
130) Jacob, D. J., Turner, A. J., Maasakkers, J. D., Sheng, J., Sun, K., Liu, X., Chance, K., Aben, I., McKeever, J., & Frankenberg, C. (2016). Satellite observations of atmospheric methane and their value for quantifying methane emissions. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16(22), 14371-14396.
131) Jacob, D. J., Varon, D. J., Cusworth, D. H., Dennison, P. E., Frankenberg, C., Gautam, R., Guanter, L., Kelley, J., McKeever, J., Ott, L. E., Poulter, B., Qu, Z., Thorpe, A. K., Worden, J. R., & Duren, R. M. (2022). Quantifying methane emissions from the global scale down to point sources using satellite observations of atmospheric methane. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 22(14), 9617-9646.
132) Jadin, M. S., & Taib, S. (2012). Recent progress in diagnosing the reliability of electrical equipment by using infrared thermography. Infrared Physics and Technology, 55(4), 236-245.
133) Jardine, A. K. S., Lin, D., & Banjevic, D. (2006). A review on machinery diagnostics and prognostics implementing condition-based maintenance. Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 20(7), 1483-1510.
134) Johnson, M. R., Tyner, D. R., & Szekeres, A. J. (2021). Blinded evaluation of airborne methane source detection using Bridger Photonics LiDAR. Remote Sensing of Environment, 259, 112418.
135) Kemp, C. E., & Ravikumar, A. P. (2021). New technologies can cost effectively reduce oil and gas methane emissions, but policies will require careful design to establish mitigation equivalence. Environmental Science and Technology, 55(13), 9251-9261.
136) Kemp, C. E., Ravikumar, A. P., & Brandt, A. R. (2016). Comparing natural gas leakage detection technologies using an open-source virtual gas field simulator. Environmental Science and Technology, 50(8), 4546-4553.
137) Kerr, S. (1975). On the folly of rewarding A, while hoping for B. Academy of Management Journal, 18(4), 769-783.
138) Kidnay, A. J., Parrish, W. R., & McCartney, D. G. (2011). Fundamentals of natural gas processing (2nd ed.). CRC Press.
139) Kletz, T. (2001). Learning from accidents (3rd ed.). Gulf Professional Publishing.
140) Komi, N. M. (2021). Hybrid deep learning for wind power forecasting: From grid stability to market equity for small generators. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 2(6), 989-1014.
141) Komi, N. M., & Adamolekun, A. (2021). Interpretable machine learning for early failure prediction in distributed renewable energy assets. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 2(6), 1015-1038.
142) Komi, N. M., & Adamolekun, A. (2022). Hierarchical, decentralized, or hybrid? A systematic review of control architectures for distributed energy resources. International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology, 8(5), 141-195.
143) Komi, N. M., & Adeniji, I. O. (2023). A tiered digital twin that brings predictive diagnostics to resource-constrained renewable energy sites. International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology, 9(3), 287-347.
144) Komi, N. M., & Ganiu, O. S. (2023). Edge intelligence for resilient microgrid control: Advances, energy sovereignty, and open challenges. Gyanshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 6(3), 525-586.
145) Lamb, B. K., Edburg, S. L., Ferrara, T. W., Howard, T., Harrison, M. R., Kolb, C. E., Townsend-Small, A., Dyck, W., Possolo, A., & Whetstone, J. R. (2015). Direct measurements show decreasing methane emissions from natural gas local distribution systems in the United States. Environmental Science and Technology, 49(8), 5161-5169.
146) Lauvaux, T., Giron, C., Mazzolini, M., d'Aspremont, A., Duren, R., Cusworth, D., Shindell, D., & Ciais, P. (2022). Global assessment of oil and gas methane ultra-emitters. Science, 375(6580), 557-561.
147) Lim, W., Choi, K., & Moon, I. (2013). Current status and perspectives of liquefied natural gas (LNG) plant design. Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research, 52(9), 3065-3088.
148) Lyon, D. R., Alvarez, R. A., Zavala-Araiza, D., Brandt, A. R., Jackson, R. B., & Hamburg, S. P. (2016). Aerial surveys of elevated hydrocarbon emissions from oil and gas production sites. Environmental Science and Technology, 50(9), 4877-4886.
149) Mackworth, N. H. (1948). The breakdown of vigilance during prolonged visual search. Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 1(1), 6-21.
150) Mannan, S. (Ed.). (2012). Lees' loss prevention in the process industries (4th ed.). Butterworth-Heinemann.
151) Marchese, A. J., Vaughn, T. L., Zimmerle, D. J., Martinez, D. M., Williams, L. L., Robinson, A. L., Mitchell, A. L., Subramanian, R., Tkacik, D. S., Roscioli, J. R., & Herndon, S. C. (2015). Methane emissions from United States natural gas gathering and processing. Environmental Science and Technology, 49(17), 10718-10727.
152) Mba, D., & Rao, R. B. K. N. (2006). Development of acoustic emission technology for condition monitoring and diagnosis of rotating machines. Shock and Vibration Digest, 38(1), 3-16.
153) Mbonu, I. S., Iwuanyanwu, U., Uzoka, E., & Oluoha, O. M. (2019). Advances in enterprise log analytics and automated incident response architectures using Python and SIEM platforms. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 3(2), 1000-1019.
154) Mitchell, A. L., Tkacik, D. S., Roscioli, J. R., Herndon, S. C., Yacovitch, T. I., Martinez, D. M., Vaughn, T. L., Williams, L. L., Sullivan, M. R., Floerchinger, C., Omara, M., Subramanian, R., Zimmerle, D., Marchese, A. J., & Robinson, A. L. (2015). Measurements of

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

methane emissions from natural gas gathering facilities and processing plants: Measurement results. Environmental Science and Technology, 49(5), 3219-3227.
155) Mokhatab, S., Mak, J. Y., Valappil, J. V., & Wood, D. A. (2014). Handbook of liquefied natural gas. Gulf Professional Publishing.
156) Monye, S. I., Daniyan, I. A., Monye, N. S., Ikumapayi, O. M., Bello, K. A., Boboye, O., Awogbemi, O., Tawose, O. M., & Adesoji, A. A. (2025). Hydrogen infrastructure for a sustainable future: Challenges, innovations, and global opportunities. NIPES Journal of Science and Technology Research, 7(2), 3302-3308.
157) Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T., & Zhang, H. (2013). Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing. In Climate change 2013: The physical science basis (pp. 659-740). Cambridge University Press.
158) Nwulu, E. O., Ozobu, C. O., Adikwu, F. E., Odujobi, O., & Onyekwe, F. O. (2024). Advancing inspection techniques for coating durability: Integrating non-destructive testing in asset integrity management. International Journal of Scientific Research Updates, 8(2), 164-174.
159) Obogo, S. F., Arumosoye, O. M., & Obriki, O. D. (2020a). Advances in internal QHSE audit systems for industrial engineering operations. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 4(4), 399-417.
160) Obogo, S. F., Arumosoye, O. M., & Obriki, O. D. (2020c). Critical review of occupational safety management systems in oil and gas maintenance projects. Shodhshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 3(4), 145-166.
161) Obogo, S. F., Arumosoye, O. M., & Obriki, O. D. (2021). Advances in proactive hazard recognition and near miss reporting systems. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 2(6), 835-846.
162) Obogo, S. F., Arumosoye, O. M., & Obriki, O. D. (2024). Review of sustainable environmental practices in occupational safety management systems. International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering, 8(3), 164-196.
163) Obogo, S. F., Nwafor, M. I., & Ozobu, C. O. (2023). Conceptual leadership model for safety culture development in construction and engineering projects. International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering, 7(6), 121-153.
164) Obogo, S. F., Obriki, O. D., & Arumosoye, O. M. (2021). Conceptual model for incident prevention in industrial maintenance engineering environments. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 2(6), 847-858.
165) Obogo, S. F., Obriki, O. D., & Arumosoye, O. M. (2022). Conceptual safety governance model for large commercial facility operations. Gyanshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 5(6), 383-410.
166) Obogo, S. F., Ozobu, C. O., Garba, B. M. P., & Adio, S. A. (2026). Predictive safety analytics model for early detection of high-risk construction activities. Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Review, 2(3), 92-109.
167) Obogo, S. F., Ozobu, C. O., & Nwafor, M. I. (2024). Conceptual environmental safety compliance framework for construction and infrastructure projects. International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering, 8(3), 132-163.
168) Obogo, S. F., Ozobu, C. O., Nwafor, M. I., & Adio, S. A. (2025). Advances in hazard identification systems for large scale construction operations. International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering, 9(6), 37-70.
169) Obogo, S. F., Ozobu, C. O., & Uduokhai, D. O. (2019b). Development of a construction safety risk governance model for multi contractor high rise projects. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 2(9), 502-522.
170) Obogo, S. F., Uduokhai, D. O., & Ozobu, C. O. (2019). Systematic review of hazard identification and risk control practices in urban construction projects. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 2(12), 666-681.
171) Obogo, S. F., Uduokhai, D. O., & Ozobu, C. O. (2021). Review of contractor safety compliance systems in infrastructure engineering projects. International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering, 5(4), 76-100.
172) Obriki, O. D., & Arumosoye, O. M. (2018). Conceptual modeling of data-driven occupational safety risk control in large-scale energy infrastructure projects. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 1(7), 169-189.
173) Obriki, O. D., & Arumosoye, O. M. (2019). A conceptual framework linking management safety walkthrough frequency and coverage to safety culture outcomes in mega projects. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 2(8), 355-374.
174) Obriki, O. D., & Arumosoye, O. M. (2020). Conceptual framework for human error causation in high-risk construction and industrial activities. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 1(5), 715-727.
175) Obriki, O. D., & Arumosoye, O. M. (2021). Conceptual model for institutionalizing life-preserving safety practices across project-based organizations. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 2(1), 961-969.
176) Obriki, O. D., & Arumosoye, O. M. (2022). Conceptual framework explaining recurrence mechanisms of unsafe behaviors in high-hazard worksites. Shodhshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 5(3), 380-402.
177) Obriki, O. D., & Arumosoye, O. M. (2023). Conceptual framework for proactive hazard identification using digital safety data streams. Gyanshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 6(3), 457-481.
178) Obriki, O. D., & Arumosoye, O. M. (2024a). Conceptual governance framework for subcontractor safety performance management. International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies, 4(6), 3020-3033.
179) Obriki, O. D., & Arumosoye, O. M. (2024b). Systematic review of incident investigation approaches and prevention-oriented learning in industrial operations. Shodhshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 7(4), 239-263.
180) Obriki, O. D., Arumosoye, O. M., & Obogo, S. F. (2023). Advances in continuous hazard monitoring systems for workplace safety. International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies, 3(6), 2742-2759.
181) Obriki, O. D., Arumosoye, O. M., & Ozobu, C. O. (2025). Conceptual model linking leading safety signals to sustained injury-free project performance. International Journal of Scientific Research in Humanities and Social Sciences, 2(3), 233-254.
182) Obriki, O. D., Obogo, S. F., & Arumosoye, O. M. (2022a). Advances in workforce safety training models for operational risk reduction. Gyanshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 5(6), 349-382.

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

183) Obriki, O. D., Obogo, S. F., & Arumosoye, O. M. (2023). Review of behavioral safety programs for risk reduction in large workforces. International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies, 3(6), 2760-2775.
184) Ocko, I. B., Sun, T., Shindell, D., Oppenheimer, M., Hristov, A. N., Pacala, S. W., Mauzerall, D. L., Xu, Y., & Hamburg, S. P. (2021). Acting rapidly to deploy readily available methane mitigation measures by sector can immediately slow global warming. Environmental Research Letters, 16(5), 054042.
185) Odujobi, O., Nwulu, E. O., Adikwu, F. E., Ozobu, C. O., & Onyekwe, F. O. (2024). Advanced corrosion protection frameworks for offshore and onshore oil and gas infrastructure. International Journal of Engineering Research Updates, 7(2), 56-67.
186) Ogunwole, O., Okonkwo, C. S., Agbabiaka, J., Mayo, W., & Okeke, O. T. (2021). Supply chain resilience framework for critical infrastructure and gas processing plants. Shodhshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 4(4), 444-461.
187) Okojie, J., Ike, P., Idu, J., Nnabueze, S. B., Filani, O., & Ihwughwavwe, S. (2023). Predictive analytics models for monitoring smart city emissions and infrastructure risk in urban ESG planning. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Futuristic Development, 4(1), 45-57.
188) Okojie, J. S., & Abioye, R. F. (2020). Reconciling chemical safety with circular-economy targets: A decision framework for post-consumer recycled polymers in consumer-goods packaging balancing REACH compliance, lifecycle GHG footprint, and regulatory risk. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 1(5), 992-1006.
189) Okojie, J. S., Filani, O. M., Ike, P. N., Okojokwu-Idu, J. O., Nnabueze, S. B., & Ihwughwavwe, S. I. (2023). Integrating AI with ESG metrics in smart infrastructure auditing for high-impact urban development projects. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Futuristic Development, 4(1), 32-44.
190) Okonkwo, C. S., Agbabiaka, J., Mayo, W., & Okeke, O. T. (2024b). Framework for secure and scalable supply chain systems supporting national energy reliability. International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies, 4(6), 2816-2826.
191) Okonkwo, C. S., Agbabiaka, J., Mayo, W., & Okeke, O. T. (2024c). Model for predictive procurement planning to sustain operational uptime. International Journal of Scientific Research in Humanities and Social Sciences, 1(2), 909-928.
192) Okonkwo, C. S., Agbabiaka, J., Ogunwole, O., Mayo, W., & Okeke, O. T. (2021). Conceptual model for materials readiness and maintenance-driven supply chain performance. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 2(6), 584-594.
193) Okonkwo, C. S., Mayo, W., & Okeke, O. T. (2023). Conceptual model for asset lifecycle management and inventory visibility. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 10(1), 809-824.
194) Okonkwo, C. S., Ogunwole, O., Mayo, W., & Okeke, O. T. (2021). Framework for regulatory-compliant procurement in high-risk energy environments. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 2(6), 595-605.
195) Okonkwo, C. S., Ogunwole, O., & Okeke, O. T. (2018b). Model for inventory availability and plant uptime improvement in energy facilities. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals, 2(4), 160-172.
196) Oladepo, O. O., & Oyediji, K. (2025a). A conceptual model for enterprise financial transformation, process optimization, and digital control systems. Gyanshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 8(6), 130-157.
197) Oladepo, O. O., & Oyediji, K. (2025b). A systematic review of project finance and public private partnership structures for infrastructure development. International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research and Studies, 5(6), 1765-1778.
198) Oladepo, O. O., & Oyediji, K. (2025c). A theoretical framework for executive compensation, incentive alignment, and performance management in capital intensive industries. Shodhshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 8(6), 97-127.
199) Omara, M., Sullivan, M. R., Li, X., Subramanian, R., Robinson, A. L., & Presto, A. A. (2016). Methane emissions from conventional and unconventional natural gas production sites in the Marcellus Shale Basin. Environmental Science and Technology, 50(4), 2099-2107.
200) Omara, M., Zimmerman, N., Sullivan, M. R., Li, X., Ellis, A., Cesa, R., Subramanian, R., Presto, A. A., & Robinson, A. L. (2018). Methane emissions from natural gas production sites in the United States: Data synthesis and national estimate. Environmental Science and Technology, 52(21), 12915-12925.
201) Omisola, J. O., Etukudoh, E. A., Okenwa, O. K., Owulade, O. A., & Isi, L. R. (2025). Review of balancing economic growth with environmental sustainability in LNG project development. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation, 6(3), 247-254.
202) Omoegun, G. O., Sunday, E. A., Essien, M. A., & Oluokun, O. A. (2023). Vibration-based condition monitoring of rotating machinery using LabVIEW. International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering, 7(6), 82-108.
203) Oteri, O., & Edivri, J. (2026). An operational reliability and service assurance framework for enterprise IT systems supporting large user populations. Gulf Journal of Engineering and Technology, 2(1), 1-19.
204) Oyediji, K., & Oladepo, O. O. (2025). A conceptual model for commodity revenue securitization and capital markets financing in infrastructure projects. Gulf Journal of Advance Business Research, 3(12), 1585-1609.
205) Oyeleke, A. V., Eze, F. C., & Asiedu, W. (2026). Reliability-centered maintenance strategies for minimizing downtime and maximizing performance in high-density GPU cluster environments. International Journal of Engineering Technology Research & Management, 10(7), 18-38.
206) Ozowe, C., Ukato, A., Jambol, D. D., & Daramola, G. O. (2024). Technological innovations in liquefied natural gas operations: Enhancing efficiency and safety. Engineering Science and Technology Journal, 5(6), 1909-1929.
207) Parasuraman, R., & Manzey, D. H. (2010). Complacency and bias in human use of automation: An attentional integration. Human Factors, 52(3), 381-410.
208) Plant, G., Kort, E. A., Brandt, A. R., Chen, Y., Fordice, G., Gorchov Negron, A. M., Schwietzke, S., Smith, M., & Zavala-Araiza, D. (2022). Inefficient and unlit natural gas flares both emit large quantities of methane. Science, 377(6614), 1566-1571.

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

209) Quainoo, R., & Ogundapo, O. (2026a). A system-level power behavior model for Bluetooth and Wi-Fi coexistence in dual-mode wireless devices. International Journal of Computer Science and Mathematical Theory, 12(2), 298-358.
210) Quainoo, R., & Ogundapo, O. (2026b). Wireless system-on-chip performance in next-generation Internet of Things devices: A systematic review of integrated transceiver testing methodologies. World Journal of Innovation and Modern Technology, 10(5), 76-126.
211) Quainoo, R., Ogundapo, O., & Asiedu, W. A. (2024). Modeling the impact of impedance mismatch on wireless link performance: A framework for prototype development and system optimization. International Journal of Computer Science and Mathematical Theory, 10(2), 62-116.
212) Quainoo, R., Ogundapo, O., & Asiedu, W. A. (2025a). Predicting throughput degradation in Wi-Fi 6 networks under varying channel conditions: A physical layer performance model. International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology, 11(12), 205-264.
213) Quainoo, R., Ogundapo, O., & Asiedu, W. A. (2025b). Test automation in wireless hardware engineering: A comprehensive review of scripting frameworks and instrument control strategies. International Journal of Engineering and Modern Technology, 11(10), 405-464.
214) Ravikumar, A. P., & Brandt, A. R. (2017). Designing better methane mitigation policies: The challenge of distributed small sources in the natural gas sector. Environmental Research Letters, 12(4), 044023.
215) Ravikumar, A. P., Sreekanth, D., Wang, J., Rutherford, J. S., Bell, C., Zimmerle, D., & Brandt, A. R. (2019). Single-blind inter-comparison of methane detection technologies: Results from the Stanford/EDF Mobile Monitoring Challenge. Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene, 7, 37.
216) Ravikumar, A. P., Wang, J., McGuire, M., Bell, C. S., Zimmerle, D., & Brandt, A. R. (2018). Good versus good enough? Empirical tests of methane leak detection sensitivity of a commercial infrared camera. Environmental Science and Technology, 52(4), 2368-2374.
217) Reason, J. (1997). Managing the risks of organizational accidents. Ashgate.
218) Robertson, A. M., Edie, R., Snare, D., Soltis, J., Field, R. A., Burkhart, M. D., Bell, C. S., Zimmerle, D., & Murphy, S. M. (2017). Variation in methane emission rates from well pads in four oil and gas basins with contrasting production volumes and compositions. Environmental Science and Technology, 51(15), 8832-8840.
219) Robson, L. S., Clarke, J. A., Cullen, K., Bielecky, A., Severin, C., Bigelow, P. L., Irvin, E., Culyer, A., & Mahood, Q. (2007). The effectiveness of occupational health and safety management system interventions: A systematic review. Safety Science, 45(3), 329-353.
220) Rochlin, G. I. (1999). Safe operation as a social construct. Ergonomics, 42(11), 1549-1560.
221) Roman-White, S. A., Littlefield, J. A., Fleury, K. G., Allen, D. T., Balcombe, P., Konschnik, K. E., Ewing, J., Ross, G. B., & George, F. (2021). LNG supply chains: A supplier-specific life-cycle assessment for improved emission accounting. ACS Sustainable Chemistry and Engineering, 9(32), 10857-10867.
222) Rutherford, J. S., Sherwin, E. D., Ravikumar, A. P., Heath, G. A., Englander, J., Cooley, D., Lyon, D., Omara, M., Langfitt, Q., & Brandt, A. R. (2021). Closing the methane gap in US oil and natural gas production emissions inventories. Nature Communications, 12, 4715.
223) Schulman, P. R. (1993). The negotiated order of organizational reliability. Administration and Society, 25(3), 353-372.
224) Sherwin, E. D., Chen, Y., Ravikumar, A. P., & Brandt, A. R. (2021). Single-blind test of airplane-based hyperspectral methane detection via controlled releases. Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene, 9(1), 00063.
225) Sklet, S. (2006). Safety barriers: Definition, classification, and performance. Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries, 19(5), 494-506.
226) Subramanian, R., Williams, L. L., Vaughn, T. L., Zimmerle, D., Roscioli, J. R., Herndon, S. C., Yacovitch, T. I., Floerchinger, C., Tkacik, D. S., Mitchell, A. L., Sullivan, M. R., Dallmann, T. R., & Robinson, A. L. (2015). Methane emissions from natural gas compressor stations in the transmission and storage sector: Measurements and comparisons with the EPA greenhouse gas reporting program protocol. Environmental Science and Technology, 49(5), 3252-3261.
227) Sunday, E. A., & Omoegun, G. O. (2022). Smart fault detection in HVAC systems using sensor-based monitoring. International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, 7(4), 380-403.
228) Sunday, E. A., Omoegun, G. O., Essien, M. A., & Oluokun, O. A. (2019). Thermodynamic efficiency and control strategies in residential air conditioning systems. International Journal of Scientific Research in Civil Engineering, 3(3), 52-76.
229) Sunday, E. A., Omoegun, G. O., Essien, M. A., & Oluokun, O. A. (2020). Transitioning from reactive to predictive maintenance in mechanical systems. International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology, 6(6), 425-447.
230) United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2016). Standards of performance for crude oil and natural gas facilities (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOOOa). US EPA.
231) United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2024). Standards of performance for new, reconstructed, and modified sources and emissions guidelines for existing sources: Oil and natural gas sector climate review (40 CFR Part 60, Subparts OOOOb and OOOOc, and Appendix K). Federal Register, 89(47).
232) Varon, D. J., Jacob, D. J., McKeever, J., Jervis, D., Durak, B. O. A., Xia, Y., & Huang, Y. (2018). Quantifying methane point sources from fine-scale satellite observations of atmospheric methane plumes. Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 11(10), 5673-5686.
233) Vaughn, T. L., Bell, C. S., Pickering, C. K., Schwietzke, S., Heath, G. A., Pétron, G., Zimmerle, D. J., Schnell, R. C., & Nummedal, D. (2018). Temporal variability largely explains top-down and bottom-up difference in methane emission estimates from a natural gas production region. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115(46), 11712-11717.
234) Warm, J. S., Parasuraman, R., & Matthews, G. (2008). Vigilance requires hard mental work and is stressful. Human Factors, 50(3), 433-441.
235) Wickens, C. D., & Hollands, J. G. (2000). Engineering psychology and human performance (3rd ed.). Prentice Hall.

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

236) Yeboah, B. K., Enow, O. F., Ike, P. N., & Nnabueze, S. B. (2024). Program design for advanced preventive maintenance in renewable energy systems. Shodhshauryam, International Scientific Refereed Research Journal, 7(2), 138-156.
237) Yeboah, B. K., Enow, O. F., Ike, P. N., & Nnabueze, S. B. (2025). Policy and compliance program for safety and environmental standards in renewable energy projects. Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Research, 1(2), 64-74.
238) Zabetakis, M. G. (1965). Flammability characteristics of combustible gases and vapors (Bulletin 627). United States Bureau of Mines.
239) Zavala-Araiza, D., Alvarez, R. A., Lyon, D. R., Allen, D. T., Marchese, A. J., Zimmerle, D. J., & Hamburg, S. P. (2017). Super-emitters in natural gas infrastructure are caused by abnormal process conditions. Nature Communications, 8, 14012.
240) Zavala-Araiza, D., Lyon, D., Alvarez, R. A., Palacios, V., Harriss, R., Lan, X., Talbot, R., & Hamburg, S. P. (2015b). Toward a functional definition of methane super-emitters: Application to natural gas production sites. Environmental Science and Technology, 49(13), 8167-8174.
241) Zavala-Araiza, D., Lyon, D. R., Alvarez, R. A., Davis, K. J., Harriss, R., Herndon, S. C., Karion, A., Kort, E. A., Lamb, B. K., Lan, X., Marchese, A. J., Pacala, S. W., Robinson, A. L., Shepson, P. B., Sweeney, C., Talbot, R., Townsend-Small, A., Yacovitch, T. I., Zimmerle, D. J., & Hamburg, S. P. (2015). Reconciling divergent estimates of oil and gas methane emissions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112(51), 15597-15602.
242) Zimmerle, D. J., Williams, L. L., Vaughn, T. L., Quinn, C., Subramanian, R., Duggan, G. P., Willson, B., Opsomer, J. D., Marchese, A. J., Martinez, D. M., & Robinson, A. L. (2015). Methane emissions from the natural gas transmission and storage system in the United States. Environmental Science and Technology, 49(15), 9374-9383.
243) Zimmerle, D., Vaughn, T., Bell, C., Bennett, K., Deshmukh, P., & Thoma, E. (2020). Detection limits of optical gas imaging for natural gas leak detection in realistic controlled conditions. Environmental Science and Technology, 54(18), 11506-11514.
244) Zimmerle, D., Vaughn, T., Luck, B., Lauderdale, T., Keen, K., Harrison, M., Marchese, A., Williams, L., & Allen, D. (2020). Methane emissions from gathering compressor stations in the U.S. Environmental Science and Technology, 54(12), 7552-7561.

License: This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Corresponding Author: Chukwunenye Amadi